Drastic reduction of orthopaedic services at an urban tertiary hospital in South Africa during COVID-19: Lessons for the future response to the pandemic
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Background. The COVID-19 pandemic has impacted on the global surgery landscape.

Objectives. To analyse and describe the initial impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on orthopaedic surgery at Groote Schuur Hospital, a tertiary academic hospital in South Africa.

Methods. The number of orthopaedic surgical cases, emergency theatre patient waiting times, and numbers of outpatient clinic visits, ward admissions, bed occupancies and total inpatient days for January - April 2020 (COVID-19) were compared with the same time frame in 2020 (COVID-19). The COVID-19 timeframe included initiation of a national ‘hard lockdown’ from 26 March 2020, in preparation for an increasing volume of COVID-19 cases.

Results. April 2020, the time of the imposed hard lockdown, was the most affected month, although the number of surgical cases had started to decrease slowly during the 3 preceding months. The total number of surgeries, outpatient visits and ward admissions decreased significantly during April 2020 (55.2%, 69.1% and 60.6%, respectively) compared with April 2019 (p<0.05). Trauma cases were reduced by 40% in April 2020. Overall emergency theatre patient waiting time was 30% lower for April 2020 compared with 2019.

Conclusions. COVID-19 and the associated lockdown has heavily impacted on both orthopaedic inpatient and outpatient services. Lockdown led to a larger reduction in the orthopaedic trauma burden than in international centres, but the overall reduction in surgeries, outpatient visits and hospital admissions was less. This lesser reduction was probably due to local factors, but also to a conscious decision to avoid total collapse of our surgical services.

Since COVID-19 was declared a global pandemic in March 2020, hospitals and healthcare facilities globally adapted and prepared in anticipation of a large COVID-19 burden. COVID-19, and the implemented changes, have significantly impacted on surgical practice globally, including surgical case prioritisation, SARS-CoV-2 transmission risk and mitigation strategies, especially intraoperatively and in terms of workforce and staffing issues, and changes to surgical education.[1]

During the study period, South Africa (SA) was placed on a government-imposed level 5 ‘hard lockdown’ from 26 March 2020. A curfew was imposed, all schools were closed, the sale of non-essentials including alcohol and cigarettes was halted, borders were closed, and quarantines were enforced on inbound travellers. The hard lockdown lasted 5 weeks, with subsequent sequential sectoral lifting. At Groote Schuur Hospital (GSH) in Cape Town, SA, non-essential surgeries and elective cases were cancelled to reduce the number of admissions and the potential in-hospital transmission of SARS-CoV-2, with a potential negative impact on orthopaedic morbidity. In addition to surgical volume changes, many of our surgeons were recruited to the front line to provide care outside their usual scope of practice. During this time, orthopaedic wards became ‘COVID-19 wards’ and elective orthopaedic services were cancelled. Operating theatres were divided into COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 theatres, further reducing capacity and affecting hospital surgery.

Orthopaedic services in other countries prioritised existing patients by employing stringent case selection, and also delayed non-critical surgery. As in orthopaedic units around the world, patients at GSH were screened and COVID-19 infection control measures were implemented.[2,4] In an Italian orthopaedic centre, elective surgery declined rapidly and outpatient admissions were restricted, while emergencies increased as the hospital's orthopaedic centre became a referral unit during the COVID-19 pandemic. The same centre recorded a rapid decrease of their hip and knee arthroplasties to zero.[] A Greek healthcare facility recorded a significant reduction in the orthopaedic admission rate after lockdown, compared with the admission rate during the same month of the previous year.[5] Orthopaedic healthcare services in Austria, Germany and Switzerland have suffered drastic surgical cutbacks due to COVID-19.[6] These studies were, however, conducted in developed nations with viable and robust healthcare systems. Little is known about the effects of
COVID-19 on orthopaedic services in a setting with limited resources.

**Objectives**
To describe the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, and implemented regulations, on the orthopaedic service of a large tertiary care facility in SA.

**Methods**
**Study design and data source**
Retrospective data were extracted from a prospectively collected orthopaedic database at GSH. Patients treated at this academic hospital are mostly from low-income households and often live in densely populated areas or informal settlements. These data were retrospectively analysed according to specific orthopaedic surgical firms, as they determine the allocation of surgeons and operating lists. As such, the number of surgeries performed for acute spinal cord injuries, foot and ankle, hand and knee cases, limb reconstruction, tumours (oncology), and elective spine, trauma and emergency, upper limb and arthroplasty cases from 1 January to 30 April 2019 (pre-COVID-19) and during the same time period in 2020 (COVID-19) was collected. The 2020 data were compared with the same time period in 2019. All patients who were seen in the relevant outpatient clinics, admitted to the wards, or operated on during the study period were included. Excluded were patients aged <13 years, as they are treated in a paediatric hospital. Also, 39 patients in the adolescent surgery unit (25 patients in the 2019 pre-COVID-19 timeframe and 14 patients in the 2020 COVID-19 timeframe) were excluded because these numbers were low due to a recently started service and change in access to surgical lists. Data for outpatient clinic visits, patient ward admissions and inpatient days were extracted from the hospital management report and the outpatient booking system. Patient share was defined as the proportion of patients distributed among the various surgical firms in the hospital’s Orthopaedic Division. It was calculated by dividing the number of patients in each surgical sector, clinical department and wards by the total number of patients in those respective firms. Bed occupancy was defined as ward beds occupied by a patient per total available ward beds. Closed wards were not seen as available beds. This bed occupancy is influenced by both bed capacity and the volume of admissions to the ward. It is a gauge for an appropriate balance between demands and available beds that allows for safe and effective patient care. Emergency theatre patient waiting times were extracted from a prospectively collected theatre database (Websurgibank) and calculated by taking into account patient entry date, patient surgery date and time of surgery. Ethical (ref. no. HREC 233/2020) and hospital institutional approval was obtained and patient confidentiality was appropriately maintained.

**Statistical analysis**
A preliminary power analysis using G* power v.3.1 showed sufficient data within the chosen timeframe to achieve excellent statistical power (1-β) of 0.97. The numbers of surgeries (number of operations), outpatient visits (number of visits) and ward admissions (patient admissions, bed occupancies, total inpatient days) were compared for the year 2019 (pre-COVID-19) and 2020 (COVID-19) using the Wilcoxon test for significance. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to assess the distribution of the data. The change in volume of admissions, visits and surgeries was described as average percentage decrease. The level of significance was set at p<0.05. All statistical analyses were performed in SPSS v.26 (IBM, USA).

**Results**
The total number of orthopaedic surgeries performed during the first quarter of 2020 decreased by 17.7% compared with the same time frame in 2019 (p<0.05). The onset and rate of this reduction were related to the declaration of COVID-19 as a global pandemic (Fig. 1). For the first 3 months (January - March), we observed an overall decrease (between 2019 and 2020) of 4%. The average weekly surgical volume fell significantly after week 13, when the country-wide lockdown began at the end of March 2020 (p<0.05) (Fig. 2). The average decrease in the month of April compared with April 2019 was 55.2%. Among the various firms, upper limb, foot and ankle, and arthroplasty surgeries were drastically reduced by 100%, 93.3% and 85.7%, respectively, in April 2020. Trauma and emergency surgeries during April 2020 were reduced by 40.4%. Between firms overall this decrease was not statistically significant, with the exception of a 6.6% increase in trauma patient share in 2020.

Emergency theatre patient waiting times were lower in 2020 compared with 2019. The total number of completed emergency board cases decreased (n=272 v. 338), and the largest reduction (30%) in average waiting time (634.08 v. 443.50 minutes) was apparent in April 2020, which coincided with the rise in COVID-19 cases in SA.

Overall, outpatient clinic visits were significantly reduced (p<0.05) by 26.9% in 2020 compared with 2019 (Fig. 3). Outpatient...
representative purposes only. (Trauma ASCI = acute spinal cord injury; Spine = elective spine surgery.)

Fig. 2. Impact of COVID-19 on the number of surgeries and trauma surgeries performed in specific surgical firms in 2019 (pre-COVID-19) and 2020 (COVID-19). (Trauma ASCI = acute spinal cord injury; Spine = elective spine surgery.)

We also observed that the numbers of orthopaedic surgical ward patient admissions, bed occupancies and total inpatient days were significantly lower in 2020 compared with 2019 (Fig. 4). Average ward occupancy fell by 7.5% during the first quarter of 2020 (p<0.05). This reduction was observed for all the orthopaedic wards, with the exception of spine-related cases, where there was a 10% increase in occupancy in 2020. Total inpatient days fell by 14.1% in 2020 (p<0.05) except for the spine ward, which increased by 16.7% compared with 2019. The total number of orthopaedic admissions fell by 23.0% across all the orthopaedic wards (p<0.05) in 2020. The percentage patient share did not change significantly between 2019 and 2020. In April 2020, orthopaedic bed occupancy was 45.3% lower than in 2019, ward admissions were 60.6% lower, and inpatient days were 69.9% lower. A notable increase (>5%) in inpatient share was observed for the spine surgery firm (occupancy and inpatient days) and trauma (admissions), whereas a reduction in patient share was observed for the elective orthopaedic wards (occupancy, inpatient days and admissions).

Discussion

This retrospective study shows the impact of the national hard lockdown due to COVID-19, with a drastic decrease in outpatient clinical visits in April 2020 (69.1%), followed by admissions (60.6%), surgical volume (55.2%) and inpatient ward occupancy (45.3%). This reduction was probably due to cancellation of all elective and non-urgent surgeries, as well as the hospital’s preparation for the expected COVID-19 burden. In addition, patient avoidance of hospitals, which were considered to be ‘COVID-19 hotspots’ and were displayed as such on social media and news platforms, further affected this reduction.13–15

Upon lockdown, there was a significant decrease in surgeries performed across all orthopaedic firms (55.2%). This was primarily due to the cancellation of non-essential and elective surgical cases. Overall, this decrease was less than has been reported in other studies,16 as we actively decided to avoid a total collapse of surgeries during this time. Also, compared with these international centres, we may have treated more patients needing medically necessary time-sensitive surgery (MENTS), or may have assessed MENTS less selectively. However, our arthroplasty firm (85.7% reduction) and other firms with a large volume of elective surgery showed a similar decline in numbers to a recent study in Italy (76.5% reduction).20 Furthermore, trauma and emergency surgeries during April 2020 were reduced by 40.4%. This echoes a similar decline of 47% in cases admitted to an emergency department in a different hospital in SA,21 but is higher than the 23% reduction in orthopaedic trauma surgeries found after lockdown began in Scotland.18,19 We did not change our surgical indications for trauma cases, as was done in many other parts of the world where a more conservative approach was followed. Alcohol-induced injuries, violence and general crime were reportedly reduced during lockdown in SA.19–21 The imposed curfew and reduced road activity also resulted in a reduction in road accidents.22 These factors, along with the hospital’s reallocation of beds, may have been the cause for our observations of a reduction in the number of trauma-related surgeries. The volume reduction experienced may be spurious and may in
The COVID-19 hard lockdown drastically reduced the orthopaedic services at GSH. We also noted a drop in volume prior to lockdown and hospital policy changes, which points to a behavioural change, with patients avoiding hospital-related care. The backlog of both elective and non-urgent surgeries could take many months to clear and recover from.

In future studies, the re-escalation of our clinics, wards and surgery should be evaluated to understand the recovery of our service. Most importantly, we will need to evaluate the risk of contracting COVID-19 during hospital visits and its associated morbidity and mortality should patients become infected. Evaluating re-escalation of our services, in conjunction with minimising COVID-19 risk, will be crucial in directing future responses to subsequent waves of the pandemic. The evaluation and planning efforts must be considered in relation to the effect on surgical waiting lists and the ‘side-effects’ of untreated pathology, delayed treatment and inadequate patient follow-up resulting from service restriction and hospital avoidance, which may outweigh the gains.
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