Prevalence of *Blomia tropicalis* allergy in two regions of South Africa

A C Jeevarathnum,1 MB BCh, FCPaed (SA), Dip Allerg (SA), MMed (Paed); A van Niekerk,1 MB ChB, MMed (Paed); R J Green,1 MB BCh, DCH (SA), FCPaed (SA), MMed (Paed), FCCP, PhD, Dip Allerg (SA), FAAAAI, FRCP, DSc; P Becker,1 BSc Hons, MSc, PhD; R Masekela,2 MB BCh, MMed (Paed), Dip Allerg (SA), Cert Pulmonol (SA) Paed, FCCP, PhD

1 Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, Division of Pulmonology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Pretoria, South Africa
2 Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Pretoria, South Africa

Corresponding author: R Masekela (masekelar@ukzn.ac.za)

**Background.** Asthma and allergic rhinitis affect 15% and 38% of South African (SA) children, respectively. The housedust mite (HDM) is the most significant indoor aeroallergen. Typical HDM species include *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus*, *D. farinae* and *Blomia tropicalis*. Conventional skin-prick testing (SPT) panels only test for *Dermatophagoides*. *B. tropicalis* has been described in the tropical and subtropical regions, but is not routinely tested for in SA.

**Objective.** To ascertain the significance of *B. tropicalis* as an aeroallergen in northern coastal Kwazulu-Natal Province (KZN), a tropical environment, and in Johannesburg in the highveld, where the climate is milder and less humid.

**Methods.** Children aged 1 - 18 years with features of allergic rhinitis and/or asthma were recruited over a 6-month period from Alberlito Hospital in northern KZN and the Clinton Clinic in Johannesburg. SPTs included *Dermatophagoides* and *B. tropicalis*. Sensitisation was defined as a wheal 3 mm greater than the negative control.

**Results.** Eighty-five subjects were included, 50 in northern KZN and 35 in the Johannesburg arm; 52% of subjects in northern KZN and 3% in Johannesburg were sensitised to *B. tropicalis*, with a significant difference between these centres (p<0.05). Of the 52% sensitised to *B. tropicalis* in northern KZN, half were sensitised only to *B. tropicalis*.

**Conclusion.** There is a high prevalence of *B. tropicalis* allergy in the tropical northern KZN region and a much lower prevalence in the Johannesburg region. Routine testing for *B. tropicalis* allergy should be employed in northern KZN.

*SAfM J* 2015;105(7):567-569. DOI:10.7196/SAMJnew.7786

Atopy and allergic disease form part of an expanding field of medicine and include conditions such as asthma, allergic rhinitis, allergic conjunctivitis, atopic eczema and food and drug allergies, to name just a few.

The prevalences of allergic rhinitis and asthma among South African (SA) children are as high as 38.5% and 15%, respectively. Asthma and allergic rhinitis are closely linked, and physicians are well aware of this link in the form of the ‘united airway’ theory. It has been well described that co-management of these two conditions will reduce disease severity and improve quality of life. Aerollergens have been implicated in the pathophysiology of both these disease processes. Those implicated include housedust mite (HDM), grass, mould and cockroach, among others. However, HDM allergy is the most important indoor aeroallergen in SA, affecting allergic patients with asthma and allergic rhinitis. One study in the Durban region found the incidence of HDM allergy among asthmatics to be as high as 95%.

Worldwide, there are a number of HDM species involved in these atopic disease processes. These include *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus*, *D. farinae*, *Euroglyphus maynei* and *Blomia tropicalis*. The prevalence of these mite species differs geographically. *Dermatophagoides* spp. occur worldwide, and prefer a warm and temperate climate with humidity of >55%. Generally the prevalence of *D. pteronyssinus* is higher in areas with higher humidity than that required by *D. farinae*. Australia, New Zealand, the UK and Mauritius have a predominance of *D. pteronyssinus*, while *D. farinae* predominates in South Korea, Jakarta, Indonesia and Italy. *E. maynei* is thought to occur worldwide, but it has been poorly studied and the exact incidence and predominance are unknown. *B. tropicalis* is thought to occur most commonly in the tropical and subtropical regions of the world, such as Singapore, Malaysia, the Philippines and Hong Kong.

*B. tropicalis* allergy can be proven via *in vivo* or *in vitro* methods. *In vivo* methods involve use of skin prick testing (SPT) and *in vitro* methods use of ImmunoCAP (Thermo Fisher) assays. The SPT and ImmunoCAP test panels currently in use for the identification of HDM allergy in SA test only for *Dermatophagoides* spp. unless specifically requested. *Dermatophagoides* is therefore the most studied HDM species in SA, and data relating to the other species are poorly defined. There is limited cross-reactivity between *D. pteronyssinus* and *D. farinae* on both tests. It therefore stands to reason that a positive SPT for *D. pteronyssinus* or *D. farinae* does not indicate a positive result for *B. tropicalis*, and individual testing for *B. tropicalis* is necessary to prove *B. tropicalis* allergy. Current testing practices in SA do not include *B. tropicalis* testing other than in the Western Cape region.

Specific immunotherapy offers the only potential cure for HDM allergy. Immunotherapy can comprise either sublingual or subcutaneous therapy, both of which have been found to be effective.
in the treatment of HDM allergy.[2] However, separate reagents for *Dermatophagoides* and *Blomia* are required. Current routine testing will not identify patients who suffer from *B. tropicalis* allergy, and the correct immunotherapy will therefore not be ordered for patients who need it. However, these patients can potentially be cured if a diagnosis of *B. tropicalis* allergy is made. *B. tropicalis* should be individually tested for in areas of the world in which it is highly prevalent.[10] If the parts of SA in which *B. tropicalis* is of significance can be identified, testing in these regions can be advocated.

**Objective**

To determine the significance of *B. tropicalis* allergy among patients with allergic rhinitis and/or asthma in two different regions of SA, namely the northern coastal region of KwaZulu-Natal province (KZN) and the Johannesburg area. The northern KZN region is located at sea level and has a warm subtropical climate all year round. Johannesburg is situated in the highveld at 1 753 m above sea level, and the climate is milder and dry during most of the year.

**Methods**

A retrospective cross-sectional study design was employed. The study was undertaken at two private paediatric practices run by AVN, one situated at the Clinton Clinic in Johannesburg and the other at Alberlito Hospital in northern KZN. All the patients who presented with features of allergic rhinitis and/or asthma and had SPTs for aeroallergens between September 2013 and February 2014 were included in the study. Only SPTs were utilised in this study to confirm sensitisation.

Two reagents were utilised for the SPTs at both centres to test specifically for HDM allergy. Both are manufactured by Stallergenes, France. The first was the conventional reagent used by most centres in SA that test for *D. pteronyssinus* and *D. farinae* allergy (*D. pteronyssinus* and *D. farinae* mix). The second was a specific reagent that tested for *B. tropicalis* allergy specifically. A positive SPT result was defined as a wheal 3 mm greater than the negative control.

**Statistical analysis**

For both *B. tropicalis* and *D. pteronyssinus*/*D. farinae*, data were reported by province and the proportions of positive tests along with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Comparisons between the two settings with respect to the proportions of positive *B. tropicalis* and *D. pteronyssinus*/*D. farinae* tests, respectively, were done using Fisher’s exact test. The association between allergens and site was expressed in terms of odds ratios with 95% CIs. Testing was done at the 0.05 level of significance.

**Ethical considerations**

Full ethical approval was obtained from the Faculty of Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee of the University of Pretoria. Consent for use of clinical data was obtained from AVN, who was the primary physician at both study sites.

**Results**

A total of 87 charts from the two centres were screened; two were excluded because only ImmunoCAP testing for inhaled allergens was performed and not SPT analysis. All the patients were between 1 and 18 years of age, with a male-to-female ratio of 2:1 (Table 1). The mean age of the study population was 7.4 years.

There was sensitisation to *Dermatophagoides* in 40.0% (95% CI 26.4 - 54.8) of children in the Alberlito arm as opposed to 22.9% (95% CI 10.4 - 40.1) in the Clinton Clinic arm (Fig. 1). The difference between the two groups was not statistically significant (*p*=0.077). For *B. tropicalis* there was sensitisation in 52.0% (95% CI 37.4 - 66.3) of children in the Alberlito arm as opposed to 2.9% (95% CI 0.1 - 14.9) in the Clinton Clinic arm (Fig. 2). The difference between the two groups was statistically significant (*p*<0.001).

On further analysis of the 33 patients in the Alberlito arm who tested positive for any HDM, 13/50 (26.0%) tested positive for only *B. tropicalis*, 7/50 (14.0%) positive for only *Dermatophagoides* spp., and 13/50 (26.0%) positive for both...
Blomia and Dermatophagoides (Fig. 3). In contrast, on analysis of the 8 patients in the Clinton Clinic group sensitised to any HDM, 7/35 (20.0%) tested positive only for Dermatophagoides and 1/35 (2.9%) tested positive for *B. tropicalis*. The only patient who tested positive for *B. tropicalis* was also positive for Dermatophagoides.

**Discussion**

This study demonstrates that allergy to *Dermatophagoides* spp. occurs both on the subtropical northern KZN coast of SA and in the temperate highveld region, with no statistical difference between the two regions. However, the proportion of *B. tropicalis* sensitisation was significantly higher in northern KZN, with 52% of individuals as opposed to only 2.9% for the highveld.

Previous studies have shown a higher prevalence of HDM allergy (*Dermatophagoides* spp.) along the coastline of SA compared with the highveld region. However, the current study showed no statistical difference in the prevalence of *Dermatophagoides* between the KZN coast and the highveld. Reasons for the high prevalence of *Dermatophagoides* spp. in all regions include indoor heating in highveld houses, favouring proliferation of the mites. From this study it is evident that *Dermatophagoides* plays a significant role in allergic rhinitis and asthma among children both in the highveld and on the KZN coast. Guidelines should therefore require that routine testing for *Dermatophagoides* spp. is employed all over SA.

Around the world, *B. tropicalis* is abundant in the tropical and subtropical regions where there is high humidity and warm temperatures. One of the reasons *Blomia* is so prevalent along the KZN coastline may be the weather patterns in this region. The northern KZN area is located at sea level and has a humid climate much like that experienced in the tropical and subtropical belt. The warm Mozambique current that flows along the coast is responsible for warmer seas, warmer temperatures and higher humidity. This warm and humid climate is much like that in the subtropical belt and could explain the high prevalence of *B. tropicalis* along this coastline. A 2010 study in Mexico City, which does not have a temperate climate, showed *B. tropicalis* allergy to be highly prevalent in patients with allergic rhinitis and asthma. It therefore seems possible that there may be reasons in addition to humidity and temperature why *Blomia* may become significant in a particular area. Further studies are required to determine these reasons.

Routine testing for *B. tropicalis* is suggested in warm, tropical environments. There are no formal studies from SA that describe the exact incidence of this mite in different regions of the country. According to expert opinion, 40% of allergic patients in the Western Cape are estimated to be allergic to *B. tropicalis*. Further studies to delineate the exact importance of *B. tropicalis* in this region are under way. We have demonstrated that another region in SA, namely northern KZN, also has a high prevalence of HDM sensitisation (66.0% overall). *B. tropicalis* prevalence is high, with 26.0% of new subjects presenting with features of allergic rhinitis or asthma being sensitised to both *Blomia* and *Dermatophagoides* and 26.0% to *Blomia* alone. The routine testing currently employed in SA, either by in vivo or in vitro methods, would miss these cases.

*B. tropicalis* requires a specific reagent during SPT analysis. This is not usually included in most SPT panels for aeroallergens done in SA. The ImmunoCAP screening assay most commonly done for inhaled allergens in SA is the Phadiatop assay. While this is an excellent screening tool for the diagnosis of aeroallergen sensitisation in SA, and includes testing for grass, trees, cockroach, cat, dog and HDM, it tests only for allergy to *Dermatophagoides* spp. and not to *Blomia*. Since neither routine SPTs nor the Phadiatop assay screen for *B. tropicalis* allergy, current testing strategies are deficient in view of our finding of a high prevalence of *Blomia* sensitisation in northern KZN.

One of the strategies for treatment of allergic disease is avoidance of the known allergen. However, HDM allergen avoidance is not an option because HDMs are so abundant in the indoor environment.

As avoidance strategies are not possible, definitive treatment for HDM allergy, with the possibility of a cure, can be undertaken in the form of immunotherapy. Just as the diagnosis of HDM allergy differs between *Dermatophagoides* and *Blomia*, with specific regents required on SPT analysis, so too does immunotherapy for the two species differ, particular agents being required for each species. If routine testing is employed for *Blomia* in the northern KZN region, patients who are allergic to it can be offered the appropriate immunotherapy.

**Study strengths and limitations**

One of the strengths of this study is that it utilised SPT and not ImmunoCAP testing. SPT is a much more affordable option and can therefore be done on a wider scale. However, the specific reagent for *Blomia* should be included in highly prevalent areas.

The study is limited by the relatively small sample size and the relatively short period of time during which it was conducted. It also has geographical limitations in that it only involved two private paediatric practices in the each of the regions investigated.

**Recommendations**

It is recommended that more studies be undertaken to ascertain the significance of *B. tropicalis* as an aeroallergen in coastal and tropical parts of SA. This would offer a countryside assessment of the prevalence of *B. tropicalis* and indicate those areas in which it should be routinely tested for.

**Conclusion**

There is a high prevalence of *B. tropicalis* allergy in tropical northern KZN and a much lower prevalence in the non-tropical Johannesburg region. Routine testing for *B. tropicalis* allergy should be employed in northern KZN.

**References**

13. Martínez IN, Ansari AD, Brego RE. Sensitisation to *Blomia tropicalis* and *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus*. formac and allergy prevalence in patients with rhinitis, allergic asthma, or both, in a population of a metropolitan area of Mexico City. Rev Alerg Mex 2010;57(1-3):5-10.

Accepted 13 January 2015.