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Background. Chronic pancreatitis (CP) is defined as a continuing inflammatory disease of the pancreas characterised by irreversible morphological changes, often associated with pain and with the loss of exocrine and/or endocrine function that may be clinically relevant. Alcohol is the predominant cause of CP in the western world and is particularly prevalent in South Africa, especially in the indigent patient. CP ranks high among intractable diseases of the gastrointestinal tract. The tendency for substance abuse in the alcohol-induced group poses major psychological and socio-economic problems.

Objective. CP is a disease with significant clinical and pathological heterogeneity. Level 1 evidence to support definitive guidelines for diagnosis, medical management and interventional therapy is lacking. Despite this paucity of robust scientific evidence, it is important to provide some assistance based on the best available evidence as to the current standard of care for CP in the South African context; this will aid all involved in the management of the disease, and includes clinicians, health care managers and funders.

Scope. The guidelines were developed as recommendations addressing the diagnosis, medical management and interventional therapy, both endoscopic and surgical, for the management of a very complex and heterogeneous disease of the pancreas. The recommendations are particularly relevant in the South African context where the predominant patho-aetiological agents are alcohol-associated with smoking.

Recommendations. The guidelines provide clear recommendations regarding the diagnostic modalities available, both imaging (which includes MRI and endoscopic ultrasound (EUS)) and pancreatic function tests. The section on medical management makes recommendations on the use of analgesics, enzyme replacement and other therapeutic options in the non-interventional management of the majority of patients with CP. The section on interventional procedures identifies the indications and options available for the interventional management of both uncomplicated and complicated CP. The role of endoscopic and surgical modalities is defined, but it is in this context especially that the best available evidence, combined with the experience of the group, influenced the recommendations put forward. Owing to the lack of evidence and the complexity of the disease, it is recommended that, where possible, CP is managed in the context of a multidisciplinary team.

Validation. The guidelines are based on best practice principles determined by the available evidence and the opinions of the group, which comprised 7 medical and surgical gastroenterologists with significant experience in dealing with patients with chronic pancreatitis in the South African context. The group convened between May 2009 and August 2010 under the auspices of the Hepato-Pancreatico-Biliary Association of South Africa (HPBASA) and the South African Gastroenterology Society (SAGES), and the guidelines are the result of broad consensus within this group. The draft was presented to other experts in this field of endeavour to ensure broader participation and consensus.

Plans for guideline revision. HPBASA and SAGES will publish a revised modification of the recommendations when new levels 1 and 2 evidence data are published.


Diagnosis and medical management

1.1 Background

Chronic pancreatitis (CP) is defined as a continuing inflammatory disease of the pancreas characterised by irreversible morphological changes, often associated with pain and with the loss of exocrine and/or endocrine function which may be clinically relevant. Alcohol is the predominant cause of CP in the Western world, and is particularly prevalent in South Africa (Table I). Changing patterns of alcohol consumption and the availability of more sensitive diagnostic tests have led to the conclusion that CP is even more prevalent than previously suspected.

Table I. Aetiology of CP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aetiology of CP</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstruction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoimmune</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*May be up to 80% in South Africa
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The mechanism by which alcohol induces CP remains uncertain, but there is increasing evidence that damage to the pancreatic acinar cells occurs through the transient formation of fatty acid ethanol esters (FAEEs) which then leads to the so-called ‘necrosis-inflammation-fibrosis’ sequence which may be self-perpetuating. The entire pancreas is usually involved but, in about a third of cases, there is a distinct inflammatory mass in the head which often causes biliary and or duodenal obstruction. While in most cases there is progressive pancreatic duct dilatation associated with strictures, there is also a distinct subgroup with small duct disease associated with dense sclerosis. Pancreatic stones in the calcific form of the disease are the result of decreased bicarbonate and water secretion on the one hand, and increased protein and calcium secretion with precipitation into the pancreatic duct system, on the other.

CP ranks high among intractable diseases of the gastrointestinal tract. The tendency to substance abuse in the alcohol-induced group poses major psychological and socio-economic problems. Two clinical groups are distinguished in the idiopathic and alcohol CP groups, namely (i) a mild form presenting with intermittent attacks and pain-free intervals, and (ii) those with severe disease. The former usually responds well to conservative treatment and tends to improve with time (so-called burn-out syndrome), while the latter is progressive and often comes to surgery for intractable pain with or without complications. The pathogenesis of pain remains an enigma, but there is general consensus that many factors are involved and that these may vary at different stages of the disease.

1.2 Diagnosis
Although histology remains the gold standard against which all diagnostic approaches are judged, it is not practical in the clinical setting. Diagnosis currently depends on identifying clinical and morphological features which characterise the final common pathologic pathway of a variety of pancreatic disorders.

1.2.1. Clinical manifestations
The primary clinical manifestations of CP are abdominal pain and pancreatic insufficiency, but patients may also present with the consequences of a complication.

Abdominal pain
The pain is typically epigastric in location, often radiates to the back, is frequently worse after meals and may be relieved by sitting upright or leaning forward. Pain is usually but not invariably present; in one series, 20% of patients with CP presented with pancreatic exocrine or endocrine insufficiency, but no pain. Clinically significant fat and protein deficiencies do not occur until over 90% of pancreatic function is lost. The clinical manifestations of fat malabsorption are steatorrhoea and flatulence. Malabsorption of fat-soluble vitamins and vitamin B12 may also occur, but clinically symptomatic vitamin deficiencies are rare.

Pancreatic exocrine insufficiency
Clinically significant fat and protein deficiencies do not occur until over 90% of pancreatic function is lost. The clinical manifestations of fat malabsorption are steatorrhoea and flatulence. Malabsorption of fat-soluble vitamins and vitamin B12 may also occur, but clinically symptomatic vitamin deficiencies are rare.

Pancreatic endocrine insufficiency
Overt diabetes mellitus (DM) typically occurs late in the course of the disease. A family history of type 1 or type 2 DM, early pancreatic calcification and distal pancreatectomy increases the risk of developing DM.

Most patients with DM secondary to CP require insulin. Owing to the loss of glucagon-producing α-cells, such patients are at increased risk of spontaneous and treatment-related hypoglycaemia.

Complications
Patients may occasionally present with complications of CP, such as duodenal obstruction, bile duct obstruction, pancreatic pseudocyst, pseudo-aneurysm, splenic vein thrombosis, pancreatic ascites, pleural effusion and pancreatic cancer.

Recommendation
CP is more prevalent than previously suspected; unexplained abdominal pain, a history suggestive of maldigestion and DM represent end-stage, long-standing disease and should raise the possibility of the diagnosis.

1.2.2 Special investigations
CP represents a spectrum, ranging from end-stage disease with pronounced ductal and parenchymal changes, to mild disease requiring a combination of sophisticated imaging and functional studies to establish a diagnosis. The diagnosis can be made by morphologic criteria alone, or by a combination of morphologic and functional criteria. Pancreatic function tests (PFTs) alone do not distinguish CP from pancreatic insufficiency without CP.

Imaging studies
Despite the availability of numerous imaging modalities, early CP remains difficult to diagnose. The choice of imaging studies should be based on:
- the available technology
- the available skills
- invasive nature of the investigation.

The choice of modality must involve the above factors. These guidelines are based on the best available evidence and best practice with respect to the role of the different diagnostic modalities.

X-rays of the abdomen
Plain films reveal pancreatic calcification in only 30% of patients with CP. Pancreatic calcification is most common in alcoholic CP and rare in idiopathic pancreatitis.

Ultrasongraphy
Transabdominal ultrasonography (TUS) lacks sensitivity and specificity and is of limited value in diagnosing CP. Its main value is to identify other causes of abdominal pain.

Computerised tomography (CT)
CT has a sensitivity of 75 - 90% and a specificity of 85% and is currently the screening test of choice. The past decade has seen major advances in CT technology; currently, multi-detector CT (MDCT) should be utilised. CT findings suggestive of CP are gland atrophy, dilation of the main pancreatic duct (PD), ductal calculi, intra- or peripancreatic cysts, thickening of the peripancreatic fascia and splenic vein thrombosis. Focal or diffuse enlargement of the pancreas is occasionally seen, and intra-ductal papillary mucinous neoplasm (IPMN) should always be considered in the differential diagnosis. A hypo-dense mass in the head of the pancreas may simulate a carcinoma. Other CT findings suggestive of CP include heterogenous enhancement of the pancreas and the presence of a mass. Hypo-enhancement is indicative of fibrosis, whereas iso-enhancement suggests the absence of fibrosis.

Endoscopic ultrasound (EUS)
EUS is increasingly being used to diagnose CP. Four parenchymal criteria (hyperechoic foci, hyperechoic strands, hypoechoic lobules,
cysts) and 5 ductal criteria (dilated main pancreatic duct, dilated side branches, main duct irregularity, hyperechoic duct margins, and stone) are generally accepted to be indicative of CP.\(^4\)

However, published studies have been difficult to compare because of variations in the threshold and criteria required to diagnose CP.\(^{14,15}\) Interpretation is further complicated by the absence of a true gold standard, inter-observer variability and the lack of standardised terminology. The publication of minimum standard terminology (MST)\(^2\) has been an advance, but there is still controversy on the definition of criteria.

A recent consensus conference on the EUS criteria for a diagnosis of CP led to the publication of the so-called Rosemont Criteria \(^3\) which stratify CP into 4 groups consistent with CP, suggestive of CP, indeterminate for CP, and (lastly) normal. The criteria were ranked and weighted and, although not yet validated, are likely to improve particularly specificity\(^2\) (See Addendum B).

MRI

MRI changes suggestive of CP are loss of signal intensity on fat-suppressed T1WI and diminished contrast enhancement. MRCP can delineate fluid-filled structures such as the main pancreatic duct and pseudocyst with good accuracy. As side branches are visualised in only 10 - 25% of cases,\(^{22,23}\) it is of limited diagnostic value in patients with early CP. At present, MRCP does not have the sensitivity and specificity of ERCP and therefore does not have a central role in assessing the pancreatic duct.

Secretin-enhanced MRCP has been shown to be very sensitive\(^4\) and may be valuable in the very small group of patients in whom the above modalities have failed to confirm the suspected diagnosis of CP. However, secretin may not be readily available in South Africa.

**Table II. Cambridge Classification of CP\(^2\)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Terminology</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Whole gland without abnormal feature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equivocal</td>
<td>&lt;3 abnormal branches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>&gt;3 abnormal branches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Abnormal main duct and branches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Marked</td>
<td>As above with one or more of the following:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- large cavities (&gt;1 cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- intraductal filling defects or calculi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- ductal obstruction or strictures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- gross irregularity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- contiguous organ invasion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Endoscopic retrograde pancreatography (ERP)

ERP is still valuable in the diagnosis of early CP because it accurately demonstrates the ductal changes as defined by the Cambridge criteria\(^2\) (Table II). This can be especially valuable when EUS is not available, or the results of the MRCP are equivocal.

Pancreatic function tests (PFTs)

PFTs have limited utility in the diagnosis of CP because of a lack of availability, poor patient tolerance and uncertain validation of the test results. Furthermore, they do not distinguish CP from pancreatic insufficiency without CP. PFTs are of value in diagnosing pancreatic insufficiency, in evaluating patients with CP and in providing a basis for rational treatment.

**Direct PFTs**

Direct PFTs involve stimulation of the pancreas by means of a meal or hormonal secretagogues, and subsequent collection and analysis of the duodenal fluid. The secretin test measures the ability of the ductal cells to produce bicarbonate, and the cholecystokinin (CCK) test the ability of the acinar cells to secrete digestive enzymes. The secretin-CCK test provides simultaneous assessment of ductal and acinar secretory capacity. The Lundh test duodenal radiographic tube placement and sampling after a test meal is too complex and not consistently reproducible to be of value as a clinical test.

**Indirect PFTs**

Indirect PFTs measure the consequences of pancreatic exocrine insufficiency and are more widely available than direct PFTs. However, they are less sensitive and their main utility is the diagnosis of advanced pancreatic exocrine insufficiency.

**Faecal fat**

Quantitative measurement of faecal fat requires a high-fat diet, lack of exogenous enzymes and a 72-hr collection of stool, and is only sensitive for the diagnosis of advanced pancreatic insufficiency.\(^{24}\) Stool for acid steatorrhea is an attractive alternative to this cumbersome test, as it not only compares favourably in terms of sensitivity and specificity, but is also inexpensive.\(^{27}\)

**Faecal chymotrypsin and elastase-1**

Chymotrypsin and elastase-1 remain relatively stable during transit through the gastrointestinal tract. Measurement is easy and relatively inexpensive, but these tests lack sensitivity for mild to moderate pancreatic exocrine insufficiency and specificity in several non-pancreatic gastrointestinal disorders.\(^{28,29}\)

**Pancreolauryl test (PLT)**

The PLT test involves the ingestion of fluorescein dilaurate and measurement of fluorescein in either serum or a 24-hr urine collection. As with other indirect tests, several non-pancreatic gastrointestinal disorders may reduce specificity.

**Breath test**

The breath test involves the oral administration of a \(^{13}\)C-labelled substrate which is hydrolysed within the bowel lumen in proportion to the pancreatic lipase activity. Exhaled \(^{13}\)CO\(_2\) is measured by mass spectrometry or infrared analysis but, as with other indirect tests, it lacks sensitivity and specificity.

**Recommendations**

- The diagnosis of CP can be made by morphologic criteria alone, or by a combination of morphologic and functional criteria; however, despite the availability of numerous imaging modalities, early CP remains difficult to diagnose.
- X-rays of the abdomen and TUS have poor sensitivities and are not recommended for screening purposes. CT, as well as MRCP, and increasingly EUS, have become the screening methods of choice. There is still a role for ERP. One or all of these investigations may be required to confirm the diagnosis.
- PFTs do not distinguish CP from pancreatic insufficiency without CP and have a limited role in the diagnosis of CP.
1.3 Aetiology

Despite numerous attempts, the ideal disease classification system for CP remains elusive. One such classification is The M-ANNHEIM multiple-risk factor classification of CP.34

Pancreatitis with multiple-risk factors

Alcohol consumption

Alcohol accounts for 60 - 70% of CP cases in the Western world. The daily alcohol consumption at which persons are at risk of developing CP has not been established, but is estimated to be 60 - 80 ml/day. Gender and genetic and other co-factors may play pivotal roles, and the term ‘alcoholic pancreatitis’ therefore does not necessarily imply chronic alcoholism or harmful patterns of alcohol use. Cigarette smoking appears to increase the risk as well as disease progression of CP.

There are several tools that may aid in identifying individuals with harmful patterns of alcohol consumption. The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT)32 provides a reliable assessment, but requires the participant to convert traditional measures into standard drinks. The Paddington Alcohol Test (PAT)32 (Table III) can be completed rapidly and compares well with AUDIT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table III. Paddington Alcohol Test (PAT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Do you drink alcohol?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes – go to 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. What is the most you drink in any one day?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Pub measures shown in brackets)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beer/lager/cider</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pints (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cans (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong beer/lager/cider</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pints (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cans (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glasses (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottles (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortified wine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glasses (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottles (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singles (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottles (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. If this is more than 8 units per day for a man and 6 units per day for a woman, does this happen:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• once a week or more = PAT +ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• or, if less frequent:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• at least once a month = PAT +ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• less than once a month = PAT –ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(trumped by 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Do you feel your current attendance is related to alcohol?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes = PAT +ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No = PAT –ve</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nicotine consumption

The odds ratio for smokers developing CP, compared with non-smokers developing CP, ranges from 7.8 - 17.3, and the risk increases with the amount of tobacco smoked. Therefore, tobacco smoking should be considered an independent risk factor for the development of CP.33,34

Nutritional factors

The relationship between protein calorie malnutrition, hypertriglyceridaemia and other hyperlipidaemias and CP remains controversial.

Hereditary factors

Hereditary factors are believed to play a role in hereditary pancreatitis, familial pancreatitis, early-onset idiopathic pancreatitis, late-onset idiopathic pancreatitis and tropical pancreatitis. Mutations in the gene encoding for cationic trypsinogen cause hereditary pancreatitis.35 Affected individuals usually develop symptoms before the age of 20 years and are at markedly increased risk of developing pancreatic adenocarcinoma.

Mutations in the genes coding for cystic fibrosis trans-membrane conductance regulator (CFTR)36 and pancreatic secretory trypsin inhibitor (PSTI or SPINK1)37 have been identified in patients with idiopathic CP.

Efferent duct factors

Entities associated with obstructive CP include trauma, calculi, pseudocysts and tumours. It is controversial whether pancreas divisum and sphincter of Oddi dysfunction cause CP.

Immunological factors

Autoimmune pancreatitis may be seen in isolation or in association with Sjogren syndrome, inflammatory bowel disease and a number of other auto-immune disorders.

Serological findings of value in diagnosing autoimmune pancreatitis are hypergamma-globulinaemia, elevated IgG, elevated IgG4 and the presence of several auto-antibodies (anti-nuclear antibodies, anti-lactoferrin antibodies, anti-carbonic anhydrase 11 antibodies and anti-smooth muscle antibodies).38 It is an important condition to recognise as the lesion responds to treatment with corticosteroids.

Miscellaneous and rare metabolic factors

Chronic renal failure39 and hyperparathyroidism40 are recognised causes of CP, whereas the exact role of medications and toxins remains to be established.

Recommendations

- A detailed history is of crucial importance as it may provide the clue to the aetiology. Special attention should be paid to occupation and possible exposure to toxins, nutrition, and alcohol and tobacco consumption. The use of a validated tool aimed at identifying harmful drinking patterns is encouraged.
- Renal disease and hyperparathyroidism should be considered in the differential diagnosis and a history of auto-immune disease should raise the possibility of auto-immune pancreatitis.
- A detailed family history should be obtained and, if an inherited disorder is suspected, the assistance of a genetic counsellor sought.

1.4 Medical treatment

Goals

Medical management of the patient with CP should focus on relieving symptoms and preventing the development of complications. There are 6 main goals that should be attained:
1. cessation of alcohol consumption and cigarette smoking
2. determine the cause of abdominal pain and attempt to relieve it
3. treat pancreatic exocrine insufficiency
4. detect and treat endocrine insufficiency early, before complications set in
5. nutritional support
6. screening for pancreatic adenocarcinoma in cases of hereditary pancreatitis.

1.4.1 Behaviour modification
Complete cessation of alcohol ingestion is recommended to reduce future morbidity and mortality. Furthermore, abstinence can in some cases lead to symptomatic improvement and pain relief, while ongoing alcohol ingestion contributes substantially to disease progression.\textsuperscript{43} However, cessation of alcohol does not necessarily halt disease progression. Alcohol cessation is best achieved by enlisting the help of an alcohol dependency counsellor or psychologist. Patients also need to be advised to stop smoking as this is a strong and independent risk factor for chronic alcoholic pancreatitis.\textsuperscript{44}

**Recommendations**

All patients with CP should be advised to stop smoking and to abstain from alcohol consumption.

1.4.2 Treatment of abdominal pain
Pain is the most common and most significant symptom of CP. The mechanism of pain is poorly understood and probably multi-factorial. Several factors may exacerbate pain, and diagnostic tests may be necessary to search for these to institute appropriate treatment which may entail surgical or endoscopic intervention.\textsuperscript{45} Factors include pseudocyst formation, duodenal stenosis, biliary tract stricture, pancreatic cancer and peptic ulcer disease. If these are excluded, medical therapy is initiated using a sequential (step-up) approach. Although the pain of CP may ‘burn out’ over time, particularly in alcohol-related disease, the duration over which this occurs is unpredictable.\textsuperscript{46} Initial therapy consists of simple, non-narcotic episodic analgesia in the form of paracetamol or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), together with a low-fat diet.

Patients who fail to respond could receive a 6-week trial of high-dose pancreatic enzymes (in uncoated tablet form).\textsuperscript{47,48} The recommended dose is equivalent to Viokase 16; 12 tablets per day, in divided doses with meals and snacks. However, the evidence to support this is poor; in addition, uncoated enzyme replacement therapy is not currently available in South Africa.

A possible mechanism of pain relief from pancreatic enzyme replacement therapies is a reduction in pancreatic stimulation by food-induced CCK. When exogenous pancreatic enzymes are taken with a meal, CCK-releasing factors are degraded and CCK release in response to a meal is reduced. However, clinical trials investigating this hypothesis have shown conflicting results.\textsuperscript{49,50} The majority of trials using enteric-coated preparations demonstrated no benefit, but this may reflect failure of the coated preparation to release the enzymes into the feedback-sensitive portion of the duodenum. In contrast, studies using non-enteric-coated tablets have demonstrated a reduction in pain compared with placebo. The effects of uncoated pancreatic enzymes are augmented by acid suppression therapy (either an H\textsubscript{2} blocker or a proton pump inhibitor), which reduces the inactivation of the enzymes by gastric acid and increases the amount of protease to reach the duodenum.\textsuperscript{46,51} Enzyme therapy appears to be most effective in ‘small-duct’ or ‘minimal change’ CP.\textsuperscript{46} Octreotide, while also effective in reducing pancreatic secretion, is not currently recommended for treating chronic pain.\textsuperscript{44}

In subjects with refractory severe pain, narcotic analgesics may be required, starting with the least potent agents and progressing to more potent formulations as necessary. Initialy they should only be given on an ‘as needed’ basis. Ideally, ongoing regular opioid analgesia is reserved for those in whom endoscopic or surgical therapies are not appropriate and symptoms are intractable. Pethidine appears to be more addictive than other opiates and should be avoided wherever possible. Managing pain in CP is best done in conjunction with an experienced pain therapist who may wish to apply more specialised techniques such as intrapleural blocks.

Tricyclic antidepressants, selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors and combined serotonin and norepinephrine re-uptake inhibitors will alleviate co-existent depression and may ameliorate pain and potentiate the effects of opiates. These agents may alter visceral and central nerve sensitisation, which are proposed mechanisms of pain in CP.\textsuperscript{44}

Antioxidants may be beneficial in reducing pain. A recent randomised, placebo-controlled trial of antioxidant supplementation in CP evaluated 147 patients over 6 months.\textsuperscript{52} The antioxidant supplementation consisted of daily doses of selenium, ascorbic acid, β-carotene, α-tocopherol and methionine. Overall, antioxidant supplementation led to significantly less painful days per month, a reduced need for oral analgesics and fewer hospitalisations. The beneficial effect of these antioxidants on pain relief was already significant at 3 months. Whether these data are sufficient to recommend this intervention remains unclear.

**Recommendations**

- Chronic pain should be investigated to exclude pathologies which may be amenable to endoscopic or surgical therapy.
- Medical treatment of pain should employ a sequential (step-up) approach, commencing with simple, non-narcotic episodic analgesia (paracetamol or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs), together with a low-fat diet.
- If simple analgesia is insufficient for pain control, a 6-week trial of high-dose pancreatic enzymes (in tablet, uncoated form) may be appropriate, if this is available. Acid suppression therapy should be given as an adjunct.
- In subjects with refractory severe pain, narcotic analgesics may be required, starting with the least potent agents and progressing to more potent formulations as necessary.
- Tricyclic antidepressants, selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors and combined serotonin and norepinephrine re-uptake inhibitors, will alleviate co-existent depression and may ameliorate pain and potentiate the effects of opiates.
- Managing pain in CP is best done in conjunction with an experienced pain therapist.

1.4.3 Treatment of pancreatic exocrine insufficiency
Clinically significant malabsorption does not occur until more than 90% of pancreatic function is lost.\textsuperscript{44} Steatorrhoea usually occurs before protein deficiency.\textsuperscript{49} Enteric-coated preparations are superior to uncoated therapy for the treatment of steatorrhoea, as lipase is protected from inactivation by gastric acid.\textsuperscript{53} Clinical indications for initiating enzyme supplementation are frank steatorrhoea, weight loss or diarrhoea.

However, it has been shown that the sensitivity of clinical steatorrhoea as a predictor of exocrine dysfunction is only 38%, suggesting that exocrine insufficiency will go undetected and untreated in many patients.\textsuperscript{54} As such, asymptomatic patients with CP may benefit from routine screening for sub-clinical exocrine insufficiency, and receive pancreatic enzyme replacement if identified. This approach is recommended by some experts in the field, but is not validated. A suitable option for screening is the stool acid steatorrhoea determined on spot samples which is a reliable, easy and inexpensive test which, when compared with 72-hr stool quantitative faecal fat, displayed a sensitivity of 100% and a specificity of 95%.\textsuperscript{55} An alternative method
of screening is the faecal elastase test, which is easy to perform, non-invasive and convenient. Unfortunately, these screening tests perform poorly in cases of mild to moderate pancreatic insufficiency.

Different formulations vary in lipase, protease, and amylase content. The aim is to provide at least 25 - 40 000 units of lipase per meal and 10 - 25 000 units of lipase with snacks. Because the cost is variable, one should consider the unit price of the enzyme supplement based on lipase content. The response to treatment is usually measured clinically by weight gain and improvement in symptoms, and the appropriate dose of enzyme replacement therapy needs to be titrated to maximise response. Despite an adequate clinical response, malabsorption may persist in some patients and higher doses (up to 60 000 units of lipase per meal) may be necessary. Because of the possible risk of fibrosing colonopathy with very high doses of enzyme replacement, a ceiling of 75 000 IU of lipase per meal has been recommended by some. It is essential that patients are counselled on the timing of enzyme ingestion in relationship to meals (enzymes should be ingested during a meal and not beforehand). Patients who remain symptomatic despite compliance with maximal enteric-coated enzyme replacement would benefit from the addition of acid-suppressing medication. Other causes of diarrhoea should also be considered, in particular bacterial overgrowth, which is common in CP.

Recommendations
- Pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy is recommended in patients with clinical features of exocrine insufficiency.
- Enteric-coated preparations are superior to uncoated therapy for the treatment of malabsorption.
- The preferred dose is a minimum of 25 - 40 000 units of lipase per meal and 10 - 25 000 units of lipase with snacks.
- The response to treatment is measured clinically by weight gain and improvement in symptoms.
- The appropriate dose of enzyme replacement therapy needs to be titrated to maximise response.
- Patients who remain symptomatic despite compliance with maximal enteric-coated enzyme replacement would benefit from the addition of acid-suppressing medication.

1.4.4 Detection and treatment of endocrine insufficiency
Up to 70% of patients with CP will eventually develop impaired glucose tolerance. The probability of endocrine insufficiency increases progressively within 10 years of disease onset. The diagnosis of endocrine insufficiency must be pursued early and aggressively by checking regular HBA1C levels, fasting blood glucose or performing oral glucose tolerance testing. The optimal form of screening is debatable. Recently, an international expert committee with members appointed by the American Diabetes Association, the European Association for the Study of Diabetes, and the International Diabetes Federation recommended the use of HBA1C (at a level ≥6.5) rather than glucose for the diagnosis of diabetes. This is a significant departure from previous guidelines. Advantages of HBA1C are that it can be done without fasting and also shows much lower variation within individuals, compared with blood glucose.

Most pancreatic diabetics whose glycaemic control is refractory to dietary manipulation will eventually require insulin. Oral hypoglycaemic agents have little role to play in advanced disease. Pancreatic diabetics are at higher risk of experiencing severe hypoglycaemia than other forms of diabetes as a consequence of impaired glucagon secretion, malnutrition and concomitant hepatic dysfunction owing to alcohol abuse. Hence, patients with one or more episodes of severe hypoglycaemia may benefit from relaxation of glycaemic targets.

Long-term complications of pancreatic diabetes depend largely on the duration of the diabetes. Life expectancy is reduced, mainly due to persistent alcohol and nicotine abuse (cardiovascular disease, malignant tumours). Treatment of pancreatic diabetes should aim at optimising glucose control to prevent complications, while avoiding hypoglycaemia.

Recommendations
- The diagnosis of endocrine insufficiency must be pursued early and aggressively by checking regular HBA1C levels, fasting blood glucose or performing oral glucose tolerance testing.
- Treatment of pancreatic diabetes should aim at optimising glucose control to prevent complications, while avoiding hypoglycaemia.

1.4.5 Nutrition
Protein-calorie malnutrition is common in patients with CP and is often multifactorial, owing to abdominal pain, malabsorption, diabetes, altered gut motility and co-existent alcoholism. There is no specific ‘CP diet’. In general, small meals and a diet low in fat and high in protein and carbohydrates are recommended, especially in patients with steatorrhoea. The degree of fat restriction depends upon the severity of fat malabsorption. Generally, an intake <40 – 60 g per day is tolerated. Specific recommendations include a daily diet of 2 500 - 3 000 calories, protein intake of 1.0 - 1.5 g/kg/day and <30 - 40% of total calories consumed as fat per day.

Medium chain triglycerides are directly absorbed by the small intestine without requiring lipase. They can be used to supplement lipids and caloric intake in patients with severe fat malabsorption, although their use is hindered by unpalatability. Malabsorption of the fat-soluble vitamins (A, D, E and K) may also occur, although clinically symptomatic vitamin deficiency is rare. Vitamin B12 deficiency can also develop. Vitamin B12 is absorbed in the terminal ileum and complexed to intrinsic factor. When vitamin B12 enters the stomach, it binds haptocorrin (or R-protein). The haptocorrin is degraded in the small intestine by pancreatic enzymes, thus releasing B12 which then binds to intrinsic factor. In patients with pancreatic insufficiency, vitamin B12 can remain bound to haptocorrin and is not available for absorption by the terminal ileum. Patients with ongoing alcohol abuse are also at risk of thiamine (vitamin B1), riboflavin (vitamin B2) and pyridoxine (vitamin B6) deficiencies. Vitamins should be replaced if serum levels indicate a deficiency.

Osteopaenia is a recognised complication of CP, a one-off assessment of bone mineral density (DEXA) should be considered.

Recommendations
- There is no specific diet that patients should be advised to follow.
- Small meals and a diet low in fat and high in protein and carbohydrates are advisable. The degree of fat restriction depends upon the severity of fat malabsorption and how it responds to enzyme supplementation.
- Medium-chain triglycerides can be used to supplement lipid and caloric intake in patients with severe fat malabsorption refractory to enzyme replacement.
- Patients are at risk of fat-soluble vitamin (A, D, E and K) and B12 deficiency.
- Osteopaenia is a recognised complication of CP, and a one-off assessment of bone mineral density (DEXA) should be considered.
1.4.6 Screening for pancreatic adenocarcinoma
It is currently recommended that patients with hereditary pancreatitis enter a screening programme, beginning at the age of 40 years.56 Screening should be done annually. Imaging options include EUS, multiphasic helical CT or MRU/MRCP. The use of ERCP is controversial, given the invasive nature of this investigation. The imaging modality of choice will vary depending on the capabilities and preferences of the institution or hospital. The value of screening other forms of CP is unclear and not currently recommended. However, as CP (regardless of aetiology) is a known risk factor for cancer occurrence, any significant change in symptoms should prompt further investigation.

Recommendation
- Patients with hereditary pancreatitis should enter a screening programme for adenocarcinoma, beginning at the age of 40 years.
- Routine screening of other forms of CP for adenocarcinoma is not currently recommended, but any significant change in symptoms should prompt further investigation.

1.4.7 Autoimmune chronic pancreatitis
Special mention must be made of auto-immune CP, as alternative therapies – notably corticosteroids – may be effective treatment. It was felt that this topic was a distinct entity and beyond the scope of this guideline.

2. Interventional procedures
While the majority of patients with CP can be managed adequately with medical therapies, surgical and non-operative interventional procedures can play an important role in selected cases with intractable pain, in uncomplicated disease and in the management of complications. The decision to intervene should be based on a multi-disciplinary approach, preferably in a centre experienced in the medical and surgical management of pancreatic disease.

2.1 Management of pain in uncomplicated disease
The decision to intervene should be carefully weighed up against the risk of complications and long-term sequelae of the procedure. It is important to stress that the indications for seemingly less invasive non-operative interventional procedures should not be different to that of surgery. Other causes of foregut pain should be excluded by modern cross-sectional imaging. It is particularly important to by modern cross-sectional imaging. It is particularly important to investigate the afferent pathways of pancreatic pain. This may compromise pancreatic function, and the risk of opioid addiction when conservative treatment is prolonged.39 Interventions should be considered if there is an escalated need and/or continuous usage of opioids. Patients must be carefully counselled on the risks of the procedure and informed that their pain may not be relieved satisfactorily. It should also be emphasised that interventions are unlikely to improve or prevent exocrine and endocrine insufficiency.

Principles of interventional procedures
It is generally accepted that the pathogenesis of pain in uncomplicated CP is multi-factorial39 and that it is difficult to determine which of these, or combinations thereof, are pertinent in an individual patient. Nonetheless, interventional procedures are either directed at addressing the morphological changes of the pancreatic duct system (strictures and stones), and inflammatory changes of the parenchyma, or by neurolysis of its nerve supply.

2.1.1 Endoscopic treatment
Endoscopic treatment addressing strictures and stones with or without extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy (ESWL) has been utilised with increasing frequency in specialised gastrointestinal units. Success rates varying from 50 - 85% at 15 - 25 months have been reported.60 ESWL can produce equally good results when compared with a combination of ESWL and endoscopic treatment, with a significant reduction in cost as shown in one trial.61 In two RCTs,62,63 surgery produced superior results to endoscopic therapy, but the interpretation of the results was hampered by the small percentage of patients eligible for the study and, in one report,64 surgery was only superior to endoscopic therapy in the intention-to-treat group, and not in those patients who were randomised.

Endoscopic treatment requires a high level of endoscopic expertise and is labour-intensive. Furthermore, it carries risks such as bleeding, perforation and sepsis. There is also concern about ducal and parenchymal injury with long-term stenting.

Recommendation
While endoscopic treatment provides inferior results to surgery for long-term pain control in uncomplicated CP, it would seem reasonable to offer this less invasive, but technically-demanding treatment option, in the small sub-group of patients with localised strictures and stones in the main pancreatic duct. Long-term stenting should be avoided, and the role of ESWL outside specialised centres remains uncertain.

2.1.2 Neurolysis
The results of celiac plexus block using a variety of neurolytic agents (e.g. ethanol or phenol) have been disappointing.64 The procedure also carries risks related to the necrosing effects of the agents. EUS-guided neurolysis is purported to be safer; a meta-analysis on pain control showed a 60% response rate.65 However, there is no indication that long-term results are better than those of other methods.66

With the advent of minimal access surgery, there has been renewed interest in thoracoscopic splanchicectomy. There is a belief that this form of neurolysis, when performed bilaterally, provides a more complete interruption of the sympathetic nerves that transmit the afferent pathways of pancreatic pain. But, as in the case of celiac plexus blocks, long-term results are disappointing, particularly in young patients (<45 years) and in those who had previous pancreatic surgery.67 Open surgical denervation procedures are no longer performed.

Recommendation
Neurolysis has no place in the long-term control of pain in CP.

2.1.3 Surgery
Surgery in one form or another provides the best chance for long-term pain relief in uncomplicated disease and can also address associated complications such as bile duct and or duodenal obstruction. Surgery is also indicated in cases where malignancy is suspected or cannot be excluded. These are complex procedures and should only be undertaken by surgeons with specialised training in pancreatic surgery working in a multi-disciplinary environment. In addition, all other treatment options should have been exhausted or be inappropriate. Surgery should only be undertaken once patients have been carefully evaluated for co-morbid diseases and when the morphological changes of the pancreas have been clearly delineated by modern cross-sectional imaging. It is particularly important to
determine the presence of associated portal hypertension which may preclude surgical intervention.

Numerous surgical procedures have been devised since Gould\textsuperscript{66} successfully removed calculi from Wirsung’s duct in 1898. Table IV lists the most commonly performed operations performed today and indicates their selection based to a large extent on parenchymal and pancreatic ductal morphological changes of the pancreas. Surgery should be carried out with minimal morbidity and mortality and with maximum preservation of pancreatic function. To this end, there has been a paradigm shift away from the standard resection procedures, such as the classic Whipple’s (CW) and pylorus preserving pancreaticoduodenectomy (PPPD), to organ-preserving resection operations such as the Frey and Beger operations and hybrid modifications. A detailed review of the results of the various surgical procedures is beyond the scope of these guidelines; but, based on reviews on the subject and RCTs,\textsuperscript{66,77} the following conclusions can be drawn:

### Table IV. The most common surgical options for CP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedures</th>
<th>Indications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resection:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- pancreaticoduodenectomy</td>
<td>suspicion of malignancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- distal pancreatectomy</td>
<td>disease confined to the body and tail of the pancreas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duodenal-preserving resection of the head of the pancreas</td>
<td>inflammatory mass of the head of the pancreas, with or without a dilated pancreatic duct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatecto-jejunostomy</td>
<td>dilated pancreatic duct without inflammatory mass in the head of the pancreas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Classic Whipple’s operation** (CW) is associated with a higher morbidity and mortality, greater weight loss and poorer quality of life (QOL) compared with the organ-preserving operations. The operation is now reserved for patients with a suspected malignancy.

- **Distal pancreatectomy** is indicated in carefully selected patients where the disease is confined to the distal pancreas with isolated ductal obstruction, with or without a pseudocyst.\textsuperscript{73} **Extended distal pancreatectomy** (>80%) for diffuse disease is associated with poor long-term outcome in terms of pain control, and is associated with a high incidence of diabetes.\textsuperscript{72} Likewise, **total pancreatectomy** should only be considered in rare cases after previous failed operations. Inlet Island cell transplantation, to address the problem of diabetic control in this setting, has been performed by a few centres with variable results.\textsuperscript{72}

- **Pylorus preserving pancreatico-duodenectomy (PPPD).** Studies comparing PPPD with the Beger operation have produced conflicting results in terms of morbidity, pain relief and functional outcome, but on balance favour the latter. However, the earlier functional advantages of the Beger operation were no longer evident in one long-term follow-up study.\textsuperscript{76} The results were more clearly in favour of the Frey procedure when this was compared with PPPD in two RCTs.\textsuperscript{77,78} PPPD is mainly reserved for cases with suspicion of malignancy, or selected patients with an inflammatory mass in the head of the pancreas associated with biliary and duodenal obstruction.

- **Organ-preserving operations.** The most commonly performed preserving operations are the Frey and Beger procedures and their hybrid modifications. In one RCT comparing these two operations,\textsuperscript{79} Strate \textit{et al.} showed no difference in their long-term outcome. The Frey operation is currently the most widely-performed, duodenal-preserving resection operation for patients with an inflammatory mass in the head of the pancreas associated with a dilated pancreatic duct system, while the Beger procedure is reserved for non-dilated ducts. Alternatively, Izbicki \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{80} introduced a modified V-shaped excision of the ventral pancreas with a pancreatico-jejunostomy for ducts <3 mm in size, with seemingly equally good results.

- **Standard pancreatico-jejunostomy (Partington and Rochelle).** This operation has a limited role and may be indicated in patients with a dilated pancreatic duct without an inflammatory mass in the head of the pancreas. It may also be indicated when there is an associated pseudocyst.\textsuperscript{81}

The results of the above operations\textsuperscript{82} are similar, but the trend is in favour of organ-preserving operations. In expert hands, operative morbidity is about 30% and mortality <1%. Long-term (5 - 7 years) pain relief varies from 80 - 90%, and new-onset diabetes occurs in one- third, and steatorrhoea in two-thirds, of patients. The functional abnormalities identified in long-term follow-up are a reflection of the natural history of the disease, rather than the outcome from an individual operation.\textsuperscript{83} QOL is improved in most patients, with 36 - 75% returning to work. Some reports suggest that long-term QOL after surgery remains poor due to the lifestyle and co-morbidities, particularly after resection operations.\textsuperscript{84}

Life expectancy is shortened in patients with CP, and late mortality (10 years) after surgery occurs in about a third of patients. Pancreatic cancer is the cause of death in up to 10% of cases. Deaths due to smoking-related diseases are also common, as are deaths due to the complications of diabetes in the indigent patient.

**Recommendation**

In carefully selected cases, surgery has an important role to play in the management of intractable pain in CP. The choice of surgery is governed to a large extent by the size of the pancreatic duct and the presence or absence of an inflammatory mass in the head of the pancreas. The principles of surgery are to minimise morbidity and mortality and to preserve exocrine and endocrine pancreatic function. The classic Whipple’s operation has now been superseded by organ-preserving resection. Surgery should be performed in specialist centres, with the emphasis on a multi-disciplinary approach.

### 2.2 Complications in CP

#### 2.2.1 Pseudocysts (pancreatic fluid collections) and ascites

Pancreatic fluid collections (or pseudocysts, to which they are more commonly referred) occur in 30 - 40% of patients with CP. The pathogenesis is thought to be due to two main processes as described by D’Egidio and Schein.\textsuperscript{85} ‘Retention cysts’ may be the result of ductal obstruction, while pancreatic necrosis with ductal disruption and leak of pancreatic fluid may develop during an episode of acute on chronic pancreatitis. A clear distinction should be made between post-necrotic fluid collections following acute necrotising pancreatitis, and those occurring in patients with established CP. The former are usually immature collections containing necrotic material, whereas the latter consists mainly of pancreatic fluid, rich in amylase. The pseudocysts in CP are often intra-pancreatic (mostly located in the head) or confined to the lesser sac.\textsuperscript{86} Pancreatic ascites occurs when there is rupture of a pseudocyst or duct into the peritoneal cavity. Pseudocysts in CP present with pain or obstruction to neighbouring structures, causing mostly biliary or gastric outlet obstruction. They can also present with erosion into blood vessels and the formation of
a pseudo-aneurysm which can present as GIT bleeding when there is communication with the pancreatic duct (haemosuccus pancreas) or bleeding into the pseudocyst. Pseudocysts can also become infected, but this occurs less often than in cases with acute post-necrotic fluid collections.

CT scanning remains the imaging modality of choice, but MRI and MRCP are gaining in popularity. The role of ERCP as a diagnostic modality is waning as the information required can be determined from MRCP. It is of paramount importance to differentiate pseudocysts from cystic tumours of the pancreas which are now more readily diagnosed with modern cross-sectional imaging. A review on how to differentiate pseudocysts from cystic neoplasm is beyond the scope of these guidelines, but is based on clinical and morphological features and cyst fluid analysis. A cystic neoplasm should be strongly suspected in patients without a history of alcohol abuse, and/or previously confirmed attacks of pancreatitis – especially in middle-aged women.

The indications for the treatment of pseudocysts are the presence of symptoms or complications. It is recognised that larger pseudocysts (>6 cm) will be symptomatic more often and, as such, will require treatment more often, but size alone is not necessarily an indication for treatment. There is very little level 1 evidence to support any particular treatment option in the management of pseudocysts. However, there is growing consensus to support endoscopic drainage with or without EUS as the first line of treatment. The procedure has a high success rate, is a lesser procedure than surgery and has a good safety record.84 EUS-guided drainage has broadened the scope of endoscopic drainage86-88 and is purported to increase the safety of the procedure – particularly in the presence of portal hypertension. The most common endoscopic drainage approach is transduodenal or transgastric, but the trans-papillary approach is also used and is an alternative method in cases unsuitable for the former.

Simple aspiration or the placement of external drainage catheters is usually inappropriate for pseudocysts in CP owing to a high failure rate and potential risk of a persistent external fistula, as most of these collections communicate with the pancreatic duct. In selected cases, it may be useful as a temporary measure in patients with very large and symptomatic lesser sac pseudocyst.

In selected patients, percutaneous transgastric drainage placement, combined sometimes with endoscopic therapy, may be a useful approach to managing the pseudocyst.

Surgery is indicated in cases unsuitable for non-operative drainage, failures after endoscopic treatment and in the presence of life-threatening complications. Surgery may also be the preferred treatment in cases with other associated complications such as biliary and duodenal obstruction, and when there is gross pancreatic duct dilatation, with or without an inflammatory mass in the head of the pancreas.89

In the main, the choice of surgical procedures will be dictated by the location of the pseudocyst and its proximity to the bowel wall. Cyst drainage procedures were commonly used in the past. In this procedure, lesser sac collections are drained via the posterior wall of the stomach (cyst-gastrotomy) and those close to the duodenum by way of a cyst-duodenostomy or Roux-en-Y cyst-jejunostomy. The disadvantage of these procedures is that when there are associated underlying ductal and parenchymal abnormalities, patients may continue to experience ongoing pain. As such, organ-preserving procedures (i.e. Frey procedure) may be the preferred treatment. Distal pancreatectomy is indicated in cases with segmental disease in the body/tail of the pancreas and an associated pseudocyst,73 and in cases with a suspicious cystic tumour.

2.2.2 Complications associated with pseudocysts

Infection

While percutaneous drainage is generally recommended for infected pseudocyst, there is a growing trend to treat endoscopically those occurring in CP. Surgery is indicated if non-operative treatment fails, or is not available.

Pancreatic ascites and pleural effusion

No clear guidelines can be derived from current publications on this rare complication. Conventional treatment strategies include bed rest with nutritional support by parenteral/enteral feeding, paracentesis, and the use of somatostatin analogues. However, there is no objective evidence that any of these treatment modalities, including somatostatin analogues, have a major effect on the natural history, bearing in mind that the ascites or pleural effusion will resolve spontaneously in a substantial percentage of cases. Prolonged conservative treatment with parenteral nutrition should be avoided as this increases the risk of sepsis.90 Endoscopic intervention (papillotomy/stenting) with the aim of sealing off the leak and overcoming a distal obstruction should be considered early after initial supportive intravenous nutritional therapy. The success rate varies in published series, but may be high in skilled hands.90 Surgery to address the leak and underlying pathology is now reserved for failures of endoscopic treatment.

Haemorrhage

Haemorrhage associated with CP may be due to analgesic-induced peptic ulceration, false aneurysms related to pseudocysts, or gastric varices secondary to segmental portal hypertension. Bleeding from a false aneurysm can in the majority of cases be treated with selective angiographic embolisation.91 When surgery is required, the procedure should be aimed at vascular control and not definitive treatment of the CP, unless the bleeding site is in the tail of the pancreas, in which case a distal pancreatectomy is indicated. Most patients with segmental portal hypertension will not bleed from gastric varices. A splenectomy should therefore only be performed when there is proven bleeding from gastric varices.

Recommendations

• Only symptomatic pseudocyst should be treated, and size alone is not an indication to intervene.
• The lack of distinction between acute post-necrotising pseudocysts from those occurring in CP in published series has made it difficult to recommend a particular interventional procedure.
• In suitable cases, endoscopic treatment is the preferred treatment, the scope of which has increased when performed under EUS guidance.
• Surgery is reserved for cases unsuitable for endoscopic drainage and failures with recurrence of pain, with or without recurrence of the pseudocyst.
• In selected cases, the underlying pancreatic pathology should be addressed with appropriate combined resection and drainage procedures.
• Haemorrhage from false aneurysms related to pseudocyst is best controlled by selective angiographic embolisation.
• Endoscopic intervention is indicated in patients with persistent pancreatic ascites/pleural effusion.

2.2.3 Duodenal obstruction

The management of patients with persistent duodenal obstruction will depend on the underlying cause. Drainage of a large pseudocyst by whichever means would resolve the problem but, when it is
due to residual fibrosis, some form of surgical intervention would be required. In some instances, mobilisation of the duodenum by way of a Kocher's manoeuvre would suffice. It is very difficult to find consensus on the best surgical procedure. There may be situations were a duoden-oduodenostomy or a duoden-jejunostomy or a gastro-jejunostomy may be appropriate. When associated with a biliary obstruction and an inflammatory mass in the head of the pancreas, consideration should be given to performing a pylorus-preserving pancreaticoduodenectomy.

2.2.4 Bile duct obstruction
Obstruction of the biliary tree is common during the advanced stages of CP, particularly when associated with calcification and an inflammatory mass in the head of the pancreas. Obstruction can be caused by oedema, an intrapancreatic pseudocyst or fibrosis. The natural history of the obstruction will vary according to the underlying pathology. The clinical presentation varies from an incidental discovery to overt jaundice, with or without associated cholangitis. Jaundice that occurs during acute exacerbations is often transient and may resolve completely without requiring intervention. The risk of developing secondary biliary cirrhosis is low, particularly in non-jaundiced patients who present with raised alkaline phosphatase (ALP) or a dilated biliary system on imaging. Persistent jaundice occurs when there is progressive fibrosis, with or without calcification.

Diagnosis Liver function tests
A disproportionately-raised ALP and gamma GT is typically seen in patients with low-grade obstruction. In jaundiced patients, liver function tests frequently improve, which is useful to distinguish benign from malignant obstruction. CA 19-9 may be elevated in CP with biliary obstruction, although levels seldom exceed 100 - 120 U/ ml.

Imaging
While ultrasound is usually the first imaging modality to identify biliary obstruction, CT scan is required to define the nature and extent of the disease, and to help differentiate CP from a pancreatic cancer. MRI is the preferred imaging to delineate the bile duct stricture and pancreatic duct anatomy. Although a smooth tapering of the distal bile duct is suggestive of CP, this finding is not reliable enough on its own to distinguish it from a carcinoma. ERCP is reserved in the main when intervention is required. Endoscopic brush cytology has a very good specificity but poor sensitivity in the diagnosis of malignancy, yet is a valuable adjunct to diagnosis and should be considered in patients undergoing ERP.

Management
Management is largely dictated by the clinical presentation and morphological changes of the pancreas. Clinical factors to consider include the presence and severity of associated pain, the occurrence of jaundice and duration thereof, concern about malignancy and co-morbid diseases. Surgical strategy will depend on the presence of an inflammatory mass in the head of the pancreas and the degree of bile duct and pancreatic duct dilatation.

Recommendations
• Patients with asymptomatic bile duct strictures, including those with an isolated raised ALP, should be treated conservatively with regular follow-ups.

• Endoscopic interventions and stenting should be discouraged, as this may cause secondary infection, particularly when the stents occlude. There is no need to perform regular liver biopsies to detect the development of secondary liver cirrhosis in this group of patients.

• Patients who present with jaundice should initially be treated conservatively, unless associated with cholangitis, when a temporary stent should be placed. If jaundice resolves, no further intervention is required, but the patient will require follow-ups.

• A surgical bypass, preferably a hepatico-jejunostomy, is acceptable in the relatively uncommon scenario when jaundice persists in the absence of any other sequelae of the CP.

• A Frey procedure with a hepatico-jejunostomy is the preferred operation when there is an inflammatory mass in the head of the pancreas associated with a dilated pancreatic duct. In the absence of a dilated duct, a pylorus-preserving pancreaticoduodenectomy could be performed, as well as in those patients where a malignancy is suspected.

• The current recommendation for stenting is in patients who present with cholangitis and those unfit for surgery owing to severe co-morbid diseases. The indications for stenting may expand with the development of removable expandable stents in those patients where jaundice is the predominant clinical presentation.

3. Summary and conclusion
Alcohol is by far the most common causative agent in the development of CP, and the prognosis is therefore to a large extent dependent on abstinence, together with cessation of smoking. While the natural history is usually characterised by marked progression of the disease associated with increasing severity in pain with the associated risk of narcotic dependency, there is a subgroup of patients where the disease runs a more benign course. Endocrine and exocrine insufficiency is a late manifestation. In patients with progressive disease, life expectancy is shortened by associated pancreatic complications, malnutrition and diseases related to smoking.

The mainstay of treatment is abstinence from alcohol and smoking, with careful pain control that requires close monitoring to reduce the risk of opioid dependency. During the late stages of the disease, medical treatment emphasis may shift to include diabetic control, exocrine pancreatic replacement therapy and nutritional support.

The role of endoscopic therapy for pain control in uncomplicated disease is limited, while organ-preserving operations in carefully selected patients with intractable pain may provide long-term relief. However, in selected cases, endoscopic therapy has become the treatment of choice for complicated disease, especially pseudocysts.

CP is a complex disease which requires a multi-disciplinary approach by specialists in this field, particularly when interventional procedures are to be considered for intractable pain and other complications.
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## Addendum A

### Rosemont criteria: Consensus-based parenchymal features of CP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Major criteria</th>
<th>Minor criteria</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Histological correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hyperechoic foci with shadowing</td>
<td>Echogenic structures ≥2 mm in length and width that shadow</td>
<td>Major A</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Parenchymal-based calcifications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobularity</td>
<td>Well- circumscribed, ≥5 mm structures, with enhancing rim and relatively echo-poor centre</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. With honeycombing</td>
<td>Contiguous ≥3 lobules</td>
<td>Major B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Without honeycombing</td>
<td>Non-contiguous lobules</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperechoic foci without shadowing</td>
<td>Echogenic structures foci ≥2 mm in both length and width with no shadowing</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cysts</td>
<td>Anechoic, rounded/elliptical structures, with or without septations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pseudocyst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stranding</td>
<td>Hyperechoic lines ≥3 mm in length in at least 2 different directions with respect to the image plane</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Rosemont criteria: Consensus-based ductal features of CP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Major criteria</th>
<th>Minor criteria</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Histological correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MPD calculi</td>
<td>Echogenic structure(s) within MPD with acoustic shadowing</td>
<td>Major A</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular MPD contour</td>
<td>Uneven or irregular outline and ectatic course</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilated side branches</td>
<td>≥3 tubular anechoic structures each measuring ≥1 mm in width, budding from the MPD</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Side-branch ectasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPD dilation</td>
<td>≥3.5 mm body or &gt;1.5 mm tail</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>MPD dilation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperechoic MPD margin</td>
<td>Echogenic, distinct structure &gt;50% of entire MPD in the body and tail</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Ductal fibrosis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Addendum B

EUS diagnosis of CP on the basis of consensus criteria^1

I. Consistent with CP
A. 1 major feature (+) ≥3 minor features
B. 1 major feature (+) ≥3 minor features
C. 2 major features

II. Suggestive of CP
A. 1 major feature (+) <3 minor features
B. ≥5 minor features (any)

III. Indeterminate for CP
A. 1 - 4 features, no major features
B. major feature alone or with < 3 minor features

IV. Normal
A. ≤2 minor features, no major features

EUS diagnosis of CP should be made in the appropriate clinical setting.

Diagnosis requires confirmation by additional imaging study (ERCP, CT, MRI or PET).

Excludes cysts, dilated MPD, hyperechoic non-shadowing foci, dilated side branch.
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