Calcium supplementation to prevent pre-eclampsia — a systematic review

G J Hofmeyr, A Roodt, AN Atallah, L Duley

Background. Calcium supplementation during pregnancy may prevent high blood pressure and preterm labour.

Objective. To assess the effects of calcium supplementation during pregnancy on hypertensive disorders of pregnancy and related maternal and child adverse outcomes.

Design. A systematic review of randomised trials that compared supplementation with at least 1 g calcium daily during pregnancy with placebo.

Search strategy. The Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group trials register (October 2001) and the Cochrane Controlled Trials Register (Issue 3, 2001) were searched and study authors were contacted.

Data collection and analysis. Eligibility and trial quality were assessed. Data were extracted and analysed.

Main results. There was a modest reduction in the risk of preeclampsia with calcium supplementation (relative risk (RR) 0.68, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.57- 0.81). The effect was

High blood pressure (BP) with or without proteinuria are major causes of maternal death and morbidity worldwide,^{1,2} and of perinatal morbidity and mortality. Preterm birth, a common association with hypertensive disorders, is the leading cause of early neonatal death and infant mortality, particularly in low-income countries.³ For these reasons, strategies to reduce the risk of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy have received considerable attention.⁴⁷

During early pregnancy BP normally falls, climbing slowly in later pregnancy to reach pre-pregnancy levels at term.⁸ These normal changes in BP make the diagnosis of hypertension during pregnancy difficult. A widely accepted definition for hypertension is a diastolic BP (DBP) equal to or greater than 90 mmHg before the onset of labour, or an increase in systolic BP

Effective Care Research Unit, East London Hospital Complex and University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg/Fort Hare University, East London, E Cape **G J Hofmeyr**, MB BCh, MRCOG

A Roodt, BACur

Clinical Trials and Meta-analysis Unit, Universidade Federal de São Paulo/Escola Paulista de Medicina, Rua Pedro de Toledo 598, São Paulo, Brazil A N Atallah. MD

Resource Centre for Randomised Trials, Institute of Health Sciences, Old Road Headington, Oxford, UK L Duley, PhD greatest for women at high risk of hypertension (RR 0.21, 95% CI: 0.11 - 0.39) and those with low baseline calcium intake (RR 0.32, 95% CI: 0.21 - 0.49). There was no overall effect on the risk of pretern delivery, although there was a reduction in risk among women at high risk of hypertension (RR 0.42, 95% CI: 0.23 - 0.78). There was no evidence of any effect of calcium supplementation on stillbirth or death before discharge from hospital. There were fewer babies with birthweight <2 500 g (RR 0.83, 95% CI: 0.71 - 0.98). In one study, childhood systolic blood pressure > 95th percentile was reduced (RR 0.59, 95% CI: 0.39 - 0.91).

Conclusions. Calcium supplementation appears to be beneficial for women at high risk of gestational hypertension and in communities with low dietary calcium intake. These benefits were confined to several rather small trials, and were not found in the largest trial to date, conducted in a low-risk population. Further research is required.

S Afr Med J 2003; 93: 224-228.

(SBP) of 30 mmHg or more or DBP of 15 mmHg or more. Significant proteinuria is commonly defined as 2+ by dipstick testing, equal to or greater than 300 mg per 24 hours, or equal to or greater than 500 mg per litre. Hypertension and significant proteinuria occurring for the first time in the second half of pregnancy usually indicate the presence of preeclampsia.

An inverse relationship between calcium intake and hypertensive disorders of pregnancy was first described in 1980.⁹ This was based on the observation that Mayan Indians in Guatemala, who traditionally soak their corn in lime before cooking, had a high calcium intake and a low incidence of preeclampsia and eclampsia. A very low prevalence of preeclampsia had been reported from Ethiopia where the diet, among other features, contained high levels of calcium.¹⁰ These observations were supported by other epidemiological and clinical studies, ¹¹⁻¹⁴ and led to the hypothesis that an increase in calcium intake during pregnancy might reduce the incidence of high BP and pre-eclampsia among women with low calcium intake.

Low calcium intake may cause high BP by stimulating either parathyroid hormone or renin release, thereby increasing intracellular calcium in vascular smooth muscle,¹² leading to vasoconstriction. A possible mode of action for calcium supplementation is that it reduces parathyroid release and



Calcium supplementation is attractive as a potential intervention to reduce the risk of women developing preeclampsia. It is relatively cheap and readily available. Also, it is likely to be safe for the woman and her child, although this safety would need to be clearly demonstrated in pregnant women before any attempt at widespread introduction into clinical practice.

This hypothesis was tested in several randomised trials commencing in the late 1980s which suggested a promising beneficial effect for calcium supplementation. The first systematic reviews highlighted the need for larger trials to assess the effects on important clinical outcomes in addition to pre-eclampsia and preterm delivery, such as perinatal mortality. ^{4,17} A more recent systematic review⁵ came to more enthusiastic conclusions, but this optimism was not confirmed by a large trial in the USA.¹⁸

Objective

The objective of this systematic review was to determine, from the best available evidence, the effect of calcium supplementation during pregnancy on the risk of high BP and related maternal and fetal/neonatal adverse outcomes.

Subgroup analyses were used to test whether these effects were influenced by a low/average or high risk of hypertensive disorders and by a low or adequate dietary calcium intake before trial entry.

Criteria for considering studies

The Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group's Specialised Register of Controlled Trials and the Cochrane Controlled Trials Register were searched to identify all published, unpublished and ongoing trials with random allocation to calcium supplementation during pregnancy versus placebo. The Group searches MEDLINE and the Cochrane Controlled Trials Register on a regular basis and reviews the contents tables of a further 38 relevant journals received via ZETOC, an electronic current awareness service. Relevant conference proceedings are hand searched. There are no language limitations. Trials with no placebo and quasi-random trials were excluded. Trials included were those that demonstrated an intended supplementation of at least 1 g of calcium per day from, at the latest, 34 weeks of pregnancy compared with placebo treatment.

The participants were pregnant women, regardless of the

risk of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. Women being treated for hypertensive disorders of pregnancy were excluded.

Prespecified subgroups were compared as follows: (*i*) women at low or average risk of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (unselected); (*ii*) women at above-average risk of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (this included women selected by the trial authors on the basis of an increased risk of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, e.g. teenagers, women with previous pre-eclampsia, those with an increased sensitivity to angiotensin II and with pre-existing hypertension); (*iii*) women or populations with a low baseline dietary calcium intake (as defined by trial authors, or if not defined, a mean intake of < 900 mg per day); and (*iv*) women or populations with adequate dietary calcium intake (as defined by trial authors, or if not defined, a mean intake equal to or greater than 900 mg per day).

The primary outcome measures were prespecified clinical measures as follows: For women: (*i*) high BPas defined by trial authors, with or without proteinuria (pre-eclampsia plus non-proteinuric hypertension); and (*ii*) high BP with significant proteinuria, as defined by trial authors (pre-eclampsia); and for children: (*i*) preterm delivery (delivery before 37 weeks of estimated gestation); (*ii*) birth weight < 2 500 g; (*iii*) admission to a neonatal intensive care unit (NICU); and (*iv*) stillbirth or death before discharge from hospital.

Eleven studies met the prestated criteria for inclusion.^{14,15,18,19,20,26} All were well-designed, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials. The methodological quality appears sound but the possibility of reporting bias must be kept in mind for those outcomes with unreported data from some trials. In Lopez-Jaramillo *et al.*²² a large discrepancy in numbers allocated to each group is not accounted for. In some trials individual denominators were not given for specific outcomes. Where it was clear that the outcomes were not measured in the entire group, the denominators were adjusted accordingly. When necessary, trial authors were contacted for additional information.

Methods

Data were extracted from the trial reports and checked.

Descriptive data included authors, year of publication, country, timespan of the trial, maternal age, parity, type of placebo, baseline dietary calcium intake, type, dose, onset and duration of calcium supplementation, compliance, cointerventions, trial quality assessments, and number randomised and analysed.

Categorical data were compared using relative risks (RRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Statistical heterogeneity between trials was tested using the chi-squared test with N(number of trials contributing data) minus 1 degree of freedom. In the absence of significant heterogeneity (p > 0.10) data were pooled using a fixed effects model. If heterogeneity was



225

present, a random effects model was used.

For continuous data, pooled estimates of effect size were calculated from a weighted average, with weight based on the inverse of the variance.²⁷

Results

High BP with or without proteinuria

Overall there is less high BP with calcium supplementation (10 trials, 6 634 women, RR 0.81, 95% CI: 0.74 - 0.89), but there is variation in the magnitude of the effect across the subgroups. A funnel plot of RR against sample size showed asymmetry, with smaller effects in the larger trials of more than 1 000 subjects. The magnitude of the effect was considerably greater among women at high risk of developing hypertension (4 trials, 327 women, RR 0.45, 95% CI: 0.31 - 0.66), and those with low baseline dietary calcium (5 trials, 1 582 women; RR 0.49, 95% CI: 0.38-0.62).

Pre-eclampsia (Figs 1 and 2)

The results follow a similar pattern to those for gestational hypertension. The overall effect was a reduction in the risk of pre-eclampsia (11 trials, 6 894 women; RR 0.68, 95% CI: 0.57 - 0.81). The effect was smallest in the largest trial which studied low-risk women with an adequate baseline calcium diet, and

where the placebo group received routine low-dose calcium supplementation.¹⁸ The results are strongly influenced by the latter trial. The reduction is significant for women at low risk (6 trials, 6 307 women, RR 0.79, 95% CI: 0.65 - 0.94), but not those with adequate calcium intake (4 trials, 5 022 women: RR 0.82, 95% CI: 0.71 - 1.05). Pre-eclampsia was reduced by more than 50% in women at high risk of hypertension (5 trials, 587 women: RR 0.21, 95% CI: 0.11 - 0.39), and those with low baseline calcium intake (6 trials, 1 842 women; RR 0.32, 95% CI: 0.21 - 0.49).

Preterm delivery

There was no overall effect, but preterm delivery was reduced among women at high risk of developing hypertension (4 trials, 568 women, RR 0.42, 95% CI: 0.23 - 0.78).

Birth weight < 2 500 g

There were fewer babies with a birth weight of less than 2 500 g (7 trials, 6491 women; RR 0.83, 95% CI: 0.71 - 0.98). The effect was greatest for women at high risk of hypertension (2 trials, 449 women, RR 0.45, 95% CI: 0.22 - 0.95), but this was a *post hoc* subgroup analysis.

Admission to NICU

This outcome was reported in only 3 trials and no effect was shown.

Study	Calcium N/T	Placebo N/T	RR (95% CI random)	RR (95% CI random)	
Adequate calcium diet CPEP ¹⁸ Crowther <i>et al</i> ²⁴	158 / 2 163 10 / 227	168 / 2 173 23 / 229		0.94 (077, 1.16)	
Villar et al. ¹⁴ Villar and Repke ¹⁵ Subtotal (95% CI)	1 / 25 0 / 90 169 / 2 505	3/27 3/88 197/2517		0.36 (0.04, 3.24) 0.14 (0.01, 2.67) 0.62 (0.32, 1.20)	
Test for heterogeneity $^2 = 6.20 \text{ df} = 3 p = 0.1$ Test for overall effect $z = 1.43 p = 0.15$					
Low calcium diet Belizan <i>et al.</i> ²⁵ Lopez-Jaramillo <i>et al.</i> ²³ Lopez-Jaramillo <i>et al.</i> ²¹ Purwar <i>et al.</i> ²⁰ Sanchez-Ramos <i>et al.</i> ¹⁹ Subtotal (95% CI) Test for heterogeneity ² = 8.04 Test for overall effect <i>z</i> = 4.00	$\begin{array}{c} 15 / 579 \\ 2 / 55 \\ 0 / 22 \\ 4 / 125 \\ 2 / 97 \\ 4 / 29 \\ 27 / 907 \\ 4 \ df = 5 \ p = 0.15 \\ p = 0.00006 \end{array}$	23 / 588 12 / 51 8 / 34 21 / 135 11 / 93 15 / 34 90 935		$\begin{array}{c} 0.66 & (0.35, 1.26) \\ 0.15 & (0.04, 0.66) \\ 0.09 & (0.01, 1.48) \\ 0.21 & (0.07, 0.58) \\ 0.17 & (0.04, 0.77) \\ 0.31 & (0.12, 0.84) \\ 0.29 & (0.16, 0.54) \end{array}$	
Total (95% CI) Test for heterogeneity $^2 = 28.6$ Test for overall effect $z = 3.57$	196 / 3 412 67 df = 9 p = 0.0007 p = 0.0004	287 / 3 452	-	0.37 (0.21, 0.64)	
			.1 .2 1 5	10	

Fig. 1. Relative risk (RR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI), random effects model, of pre-eclampsia in women receiving calcium supplementation compared with placebo. Subgroup analysis by baseline dietary calcium intake. (N = number with pre-eclampsia, T = total number enrolled).



Study	Calcium N/T	Placebo N/T	RR (95% CI random)	RR (95% CI random)		
Low-risk women						
Belizan et al 25	15 / 579	23 / 588	_	0.66 (0.35, 1.26)		
CPEP ¹⁸	158 / 2 163	168 / 2 173		0.00 (0.00, 1.20)		
Crowther at al 24	10 / 227	23/220	_ 1	0.04(0.71, 1.10)		
Lopez- Jaramillo et al 23	2/55	12/51	<u> </u>	0.44(0.21, 0.90) 0.15(0.04, 0.66)		
$\frac{1}{20}$	2/07	12/01		0.13(0.04, 0.00)		
Fulwai $e_i a_i$.	2/3/ 1/25	2/27		0.17 (0.04, 0.17) 0.26 (0.04, 2.24)		
Subtotal (05% CI)	100/21/6	3/21 210/2161		0.30(0.04, 3.24)		
Subiolal (95% Ci)	100/3140			0.49 (0.26, 0.67)		
Test for everall effect 7 - 2.44	$10 \text{ df} = 5 \mu = 0.008$	99				
lest for overall effect $z = 2.44$ $p = 0.01$						
High-risk women						
Lopez-Jaramillo et al.22	0 / 22	8 / 34	≺────	0.09 (0.01, 1.48)		
Lopez-Jaramillo et al.21	4 / 125	21 / 135	← ⊷	0.21 (0.07, 0.58)		
Niromanesh <i>et al.</i> ²⁶	1 / 15	7 / 15	← ⊷───	0.14 (0.02, 1.02)		
Sanchez-Ramos et al. 19	4 / 29	15 / 34	e	0.31 (0.12, 0.84)		
Villar and Repke ¹⁵	0 / 90	3 / 88	<	0.14 (0.01, 2.67)		
Subtotal (95% CI)	9 / 281	54 / 306		0.22(0.12, 0.42)		
Test for beterogeneity $^2 = 1.23$ df = 4 $\mu = 0.87$						
Test for overall effect $z = 4.62$ or $= 0.00001$						
	μ = 0.00001					
	407 / 0 407	004/0407				
Total (95% CI)	197/3427	294 / 3 467		0.35 (0.20, 0.60)		
Test for neurophy $f = 31.44$ of $= 10$ $p = 0.0005$						
Test for overall effect $z = 3.82$	p = 0.0001					
			.1 .2 1 5	10		

Fig. 2. Relative risk (RR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI), random effects model, of pre-eclampsia in women receiving calcium supplementation compared with placebo. Subgroup analysis by risk of developing pre-eclampsia (N = number with pre-eclampsia, T = total number enrolled).

Stillbirth, or death before discharge from hospital

No effect on this outcome was shown.

Childhood hypertension

In 1 study, childhood SBP> 95th percentile was reduced in the offspring of women who received calcium supplementation (RR 0.59, 95% CI: 0.39 - 0.91).

Discussion

Calcium supplementation was associated with: (*i*) reduced hypertension in all subgroups (although the effect was modest for those at low risk); (*ii*) reduced pre-eclampsia, particularly for those at high risk and with a low baseline dietary calcium intake (for those with adequate calcium intake the difference was not statistically significant); (*iii*) reduced low birth weight (for women at high risk of hypertension); (*iv*) reduced preterm delivery; and (*v*) reduced childhood hypertension.

No side-effects of calcium supplementation have been recorded in the trials reviewed. There is little information about the long-term follow-up of children within these trials (with the exception of BP assessment in 1 trial), and there is no information about any possible changes in the use of health care resources associated with calcium supplementation. Reduction in the risk of hypertension and pre-eclampsia may be regarded as a meaningful outcome in itself. Women may be distressed by these diagnoses and are commonly subjected to more interventions and hospitalisation (although the latter was not measured in the studies reviewed). There were, however, no documented differences in more substantive outcomes.

The heterogeneity of results is difficult to ascribe to a particular feature of the trials, as there is overlap between the classification of trials according to sample size, risk of hypertension and baseline dietary calcium intake. Most of the included trials employed sound methodology, being doubleblind and placebo-controlled. The potential for being misled by bias was therefore small.

Conclusions

Implications for practice

The lack of convincing evidence of effectiveness from the largest trial to date¹⁸ may discourage the use of calcium supplementation. It should be borne in mind that the latter trial included women with a low risk of hypertension, an adequate dietary calcium intake and, in addition, all women in both



227

groups received low-dose calcium supplementation as part of their routine prenatal supplementation. Data from that trial are not necessarily applicable to the care of women at greater risk and with low dietary calcium intake.

The data included in this review support calcium supplementation for women at high risk of gestational hypertension, and in communities with a low dietary calcium intake, provided that the reduction in the diagnosis of hypertension or pre-eclampsia is regarded as important. Whether lower dosages of calcium than used in the trials reviewed may have similar effects cannot be determined from this review. These benefits were confined to several rather small trials, and were not found in the largest trial conducted to date, in a low-risk population.

Implications for research

There is a need for a large confirmatory trial conducted in a high-risk population. Such a trial is currently being undertaken in several countries with demonstrated low calcium-intake populations, including South Africa, by the World Health Organisation, Reproductive Health and Research Department. In preparation for the trial, dietary surveys have been conducted at all potential sites. Nulliparous women attending the East London Hospital Complex and Coronation Hospital, Johannesburg, had median calcium intake below 50% of the recommended daily allowance (Merialdi M unpublished data). The results of the trial will therefore be relevant to the many South African women with inadequate dietary calcium intake.

References

- National Health and Medical Research Council. Report on maternal deaths in Australia 1988 - 1990. Canberra: Government Publishing Service, 1993.
- HMSO. Department of Health Welsh Office, Scottish Office Home and Health Department, Department of Health and Social Security, Northern Ireland. Report on confidential enquiries into maternal deaths in the United Kingdom 1988 - 1990. London: HMSO, 1994.
- Villar J, Ezcurra EJ, Gurtner de la Fuente V, et al. Preterm delivery syndrome the unmet need. Res Clin Forums 1994;16: 9-39.
- Carollo G, Duley L, Belizan JM, Villar J. Calcium supplementation during pregnancy: a systematic review of randomised controlled trials. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1994; 101: 753-758.

- Bucher HC, Guyatt GH, Cook RJ, et al. Effect of calcium supplementation on pregnancyinduced hypertension and pre-eclampsia: a meta-analysis of ramdomised controlled trials. JAMA 1996; 275: 1113-1117.
- Collaborative Low-dose Aspirin Study in Pregnancy (CLASP) Collaborative Group. CLASP: a randomized trial of low-dose aspirin for the prevention and treatment of pre-eclampsia among 9 364 pregnant women. *Lancet* 1994; 343: 619-629.
- Estudo Collaborativo para Prevenção da Pre-eclampsia com Aspirina (ECPPA) Collaborative Group. ECPPA: randomized trial of low dose aspirin for the prevention of maternal and fetal complications in high risk pregnant women. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1996; 103: 39-47.
- Villar J, Repke J, Markush L, Calvert W, Rhoads G. The measuring of blood pressure during pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989; 161: 1019-1024.
- Belizan JM, Villar J. The relationship between calcium intake and edema, proteinuria, and hypertension-gestasis: an hypotheses. Am J Clin Nutr 1980; 33: 2202-2210.
- 10. Hamlin RHJ. Prevention of pre-eclampsia. Lancet 1962; 1: 864-865.
- 11. Hamlin RHJ. The prevention of eclampsia and pre-eclampsia. Lancet 1952; i: 64-68.
- Belizan JM, Villar J, Repke J. The relationship between calcium intake and pregnancyinduced hypertension: up-to-date evidence. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1988; 158: 898-902.
- Villar J, Belizan JM, Fisher PJ. Epidemiologic observation on the relationship between calcium intake and eclampsia. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 1993; 21: 271-278.
- Villar J, Repke J, Belizan JM, Pareja G. Calcium supplementation reduces blood pressure during pregnancy: results of a randomised controlled clinical trial. Obstet Gynecol 1987; 70: 317-322.
- Villar J, Repke JT. Calcium supplementation during pregnancy may reduce preterm delivery in high-risk populations. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1990; 163: 1124-1131.
- Repke J, Villar J, Bergel E, Belizan JM. The effect of iron absorption in patients receiving calcium supplementation. 9th Annual Meeting of the Society of Perinatal Obstetricians. New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, 1-4 February 1989:502.
- Duley L. Routine calcium supplementation in pregnancy. (Revised 23 June 1993). In: Enkin MW, Keirse MINC, Renfrew MJ, Neilson JP, Crowther C, eds. Pregnancy and Childbirth Module. The Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Database (database on disk and CD-ROM). The Cochrane Collaboration, Issue 2, Oxford: Update Software, 1995.
- Levine RJ, for the Calcium for Pre-eclampsia Prevention (CPEP) Study Group. Calcium for pre-eclampsia prevention (CPEP): a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in healthy nullipara. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1997; 176: S2.
- Sanchez-Ramos L, Briones DK, Kaunitz AM, Delvalle GO, Gaudier Fl, Walker KD. Prevention of pregnancy-induced hypertension by calcium supplementation in angiotensin Il-sensitive patients. Obstet Gynecol 1994; 84: 349-353.
- Purwar M, Kulkarni H, Motghare V, Dhole S. Calcium supplementation and prevention of pregnancy induced hypertension. J Obstet Gynaecol Res 1996; 22: 425-430.
- Lopez-Jaramillo P, Delgado F, Jacome P, Teran E, Ruano C, Rivera J. Calcium supplementation and the risk of pre-eclampsia in Ecuadorian pregnant teenagers. Obstet Gynecol 1997, 90: 162-167.
- 22. Lopez-Jaramillo P, Narvaez M, Felix C, Lopez A. Dietary calcium supplementation and prevention of pregnancy hypertension. *Lancet* 1990; 335: 293.
- Lopez-Jaramillo P, Narvaez M, Weigel RM, Yepez R. Calcium supplementation reduces the risk of pregnancy-induced hypertension in an Andes population. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1989; 96: 648-655.
- Crowther CA, Hiller JE, Pridmore B, et al. Calcium supplementation in nulliparous women for the prevention of pregnancy-induced hypertension, pre-eclampsia and preterm birth: an Australian randomised trial. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol 1999; 39: 12-18.
- Belizan JM, Villar J, Gonzalez L, Campodonico L, Bergel E. Calcium supplementation t prevent hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. N Engl J Med 1991; 325: 1399-1405.
- Niromanesh S, Laghaii S, Mosavi-Jarrahi A. Supplementary calcium in prevention of preeclampsia. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2001; 74: 17-21.
- Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Statistical Methods. In: Treatment of Early Breast Cancer Vol 1. Worldwide Evidence 1985 - 1990. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1990:39-47.

Accepted 3 December 2002