Background. Pregnant and breastfeeding women living with HIV (WLHIV) are a target population for elimination of mother-to-child transmission of HIV (eMTCT). However, there are limited data on maternal virological responses during pregnancy and the postpartum period in South Africa (SA).

Objectives. To review compliance of viral load (VL) testing with national guidelines and suppression rates during pregnancy and up to 9 months postpartum among WLHIV delivering in four tertiary hospitals in Gauteng Province, SA.

Methods. All women who had a point-of-care HIV VL test using Xpert HIV-1 VL (Cepheid, USA) at delivery in four tertiary obstetric units in Gauteng between June 2018 and February 2020 were included. HIV VL tests of eligible women performed up to 9 months before and after delivery were extracted from the National Health Laboratory Service’s Corporate Data Warehouse. Proportions of women delivering who had antenatal and postpartum VL tests performed and their suppression rates were determined and expressed as percentages.

Results. Of 4 989 eligible WLHIV (median age 31.1 years), 917 (18.4%) had a VL performed during the antenatal period; of these, 335 (36.5%) had a VL ≥50 copies/mL and 165 (18.0%) a VL ≥1 000 copies/mL. At delivery, 1 911 women (38.3%) had a VL ≥50 copies/mL and 1 028 (20.6%) a VL ≥1 000 copies/mL. Among 627 women (12.6%) with a VL test postpartum, 234 (37.3%) had a VL ≥50 copies/mL and 93 (14.8%) a VL ≥1 000 copies/mL. Overall, having a VL test performed during the antenatal period was associated with viral suppression at delivery and receiving a VL test postpartum (p<0.001). Women with a VL ≥50 copies/mL at delivery were more likely to be younger and to remain virally unsuppressed postpartum (p<0.001) compared with women with a VL <50 copies/mL.

Conclusions. Fewer than 5% of WLHIV with a VL at the time of delivery received VL monitoring during the antenatal and postpartum periods in accordance with national guidelines. More than 80% of WLHIV delivering had no evidence of VL monitoring during the antenatal period, and they were more likely than women who received monitoring during the antenatal period to be virally unsuppressed at delivery and to receive no VL monitoring postpartum. Women with a high VL at delivery were likely to remain virally unsuppressed postpartum. These results emphasise the need for closer monitoring of and rapid reaction to high maternal VLs during pregnancy, at delivery and postpartum for attainment of eMTCT.
specimen collection to testing and ultimately the return of results to patients. Point-of-care (PoC) HIV VL testing has been proposed as a game changer for fast-tracking eMTCT in SA. PoC VL testing can reduce gaps along the VL monitoring cascade by accelerating the return of results to patients and facilitating prompt clinical management in cases with elevated maternal VLs. Previous reports suggest that PoC HIV VL technologies have a place in routine settings. However, scale-up needs to complement existing laboratory-based systems and address site-specific health system level factors.

### Objectives
To review compliance of VL testing with national guidelines and suppression rates during the antenatal period and up to 9 months postpartum among WLHIV who received a PoC HIV VL test at delivery at four tertiary hospitals in Gauteng Province, SA.

### Methods
Between June 2018 and February 2020, PoC HIV VL testing was piloted at four tertiary obstetric units in Gauteng – three in the City of Johannesburg and one in Tshwane District. All pregnant WLHIV presenting at labour or postnatal wards were eligible for PoC HIV VL testing using Xpert HIV-1 VL (Cepheid, USA). Specimen collection was performed by nurses and doctors as part of routine care, while testing was performed by trained PoC operators on site. Testing was performed on weekdays between 08h00 and 16h00. No clinical details, such as time of first HIV diagnosis, PMTCT regimen or ANC attendance, were recorded. All women who received a PoC HIV VL test at delivery were included in the study. For each PoC HIV VL that was performed, a corresponding sample was sent for routine testing to the National Health Laboratory Service (NHLS), with the two samples sharing the same laboratory barcode. Previous and subsequent HIV VL tests associated with the same patient, as per the NHLS’s Corporate Data Warehouse (CDW)-generated unique patient identifier, were extracted from the NHLS CDW. HIV VL test data extracted included VLs performed up to 9 months before and after the delivery. VLs performed up to 9 months before delivery were used as a proxy for VL monitoring during the antenatal period. Record linkage in the NHLS CDW relied on a validated probabilistic patient-linking algorithm that uses patient demographics (name, surname, date of birth, etc.) for linking test records to unique individuals.

### Study outcomes and analysis
The analysis evaluated proportions of women who received a VL test during the antenatal period, at delivery and within 9 months postpartum, expressed as percentages. Eligible VLs analysed included any VL performed within 9 months before and after delivery. Proportions of pregnant WLHIV with a documented VL and proportions of VL suppression during the antenatal period, at delivery and postpartum were calculated. Analyses were stratified by: (i) receipt of a PoC HIV VL result prior to discharge from hospital or not, to determine the impact of PoC HIV VL testing on the rate of retention postpartum; and (ii) maternal VL ≥50 copies/mL ≤50 copies/mL at the time of delivery. Pearson’s $\chi^2$ test was used to test for associations between categorical variables, and Fischer’s exact test in the case of sparse data. Ethics approval for the study was obtained from the Human Research Ethics Committee of the University of the Witwatersrand (ref. no. M1711115) and the Faculty of Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee of the University of Pretoria (ref. no. 50/2018).

### Results
A total of 4 989 pregnant WLHIV received PoC HIV VL testing at the time of delivery from the four tertiary obstetric units between June 2018 and February 2020. Among these, 3 671 women (73.6%) received their PoC HIV VL result prior to discharge from the hospital and 1 318 (26.4%) did not. The median (interquartile range) maternal age was 31.1 (26.6 - 35.6) years (Table 1). A total of 917 women (18.4%) had a documented VL during the antenatal period, of whom 335 (36.5%) had a VL ≥50 copies/mL and 165 (18.0%) a VL ≥1 000 copies/mL (Fig. 1). At delivery, 1 911 women (38.3%) had a VL ≥50 copies/mL and 1 028 (20.6%) a VL ≥1 000 copies/mL. A total of 627 women (12.6%) had a documented VL within 9 months postpartum, of whom 234 (37.3%) had a VL ≥50 copies/mL and 93 (14.8%) a VL ≥1 000 copies/mL (Table 1). Women with a

![Fig. 1. VL testing compliance and rates of suppression among pregnant and postpartum women living with HIV in the cohort. (VL = viral load (copies/mL); PoC = point-of-care.)](image-url)
Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of WLHIV who received a PoC HIV VL test at delivery from four tertiary obstetric units in Gauteng Province, South Africa, June 2018 - February 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Total (N=4 990)</th>
<th>Not received (N=1 318)</th>
<th>N=3 671</th>
<th>N=3 078</th>
<th>N=1 911</th>
<th>N=707</th>
<th>N=298</th>
<th>N=1 125</th>
<th>N=652</th>
<th>N=242</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PoC HIV VL category (copies/mL) at delivery</td>
<td>Not received (N=1 318)</td>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>N=3 671</td>
<td>N=3 078</td>
<td>N=1 911</td>
<td>N=707</td>
<td>N=298</td>
<td>N=1 125</td>
<td>N=652</td>
<td>N=242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VL &lt;50 (N=0.0</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VL 50 - &lt;1 000 (N=581</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VL 1 000 - 4 999 (N=1 157</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VL 5 000 - 9 999 (N=2 702</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VL 10 000 - 1 999 (N=7 182</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VL ≥20 000 (N=1 646</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

We present findings from a review of compliance with national VL testing guidelines and suppression rates during the antenatal and postpartum periods in Gauteng Province. Fewer than 20% of pregnant WLHIV had evidence of VL monitoring during the antenatal period, with 37% of these women having a VL ≥50 copies/mL prior to delivery. At delivery, 38% and 21% of the women had a VL ≥50 and ≥1 000 copies/mL, respectively.
Overall, the rate of VL monitoring within 9 months postpartum was 13%, and 37% of women with a VL test within 9 months postpartum had a VL ≥50 copies/mL. Women with evidence of VL monitoring during the antenatal period were more likely to be virally suppressed at delivery and to receive VL monitoring during the postpartum period. We observed that a significant proportion of women aged <25 years had VLs ≥50 copies/mL at delivery, and that women with a VL ≥50 copies/mL at delivery were less likely to be virally suppressed at postpartum follow-up than women with a VL <50 copies/mL at delivery. Since similar proportions of VLs ≥50 copies/mL were observed during the antenatal period (37%), at delivery (38%) and within 9 months postpartum (37%), the findings show that a similar proportion of women are transitioning the care continuum with unsuppressed VLs. In that case, the programme is failing to identify distinct groups of women with specific needs that require targeted packages of care for maternal VL suppression. However, VL data were too few to determine the proportion of women consistently unsuppressed at all three time points.

Elimination of MTCT requires sustained maternal VL suppression from conception through pregnancy to the end of the breastfeeding period. Over 80% of women in our cohort had no evidence of VL monitoring during the antenatal period. Given the small window of opportunity for intervention between detecting a high maternal VL during the antenatal period and time of delivery, it is not surprising that 40% of these women had a VL ≥50 copies/mL at delivery. These findings speak to the need for improved implementation of frequent maternal VL monitoring and rapid reaction to elevated maternal VLs during pregnancy and the postpartum period. SA already has a robust policy framework that provides for optimal monitoring of maternal VLs during pregnancy. What is required are systems for improving clinical management of pregnant and postpartum WLHIV within the PMTCT programme, including PoC VL testing. [15] PoC VL utilisation varied across our study sites, as previously reported, and these data show that approximately one in three women who received a PoC VL test at delivery did not receive their result prior to discharge from the hospital, suggesting suboptimal utilisation. Nonetheless, higher proportions of VL monitoring during the postpartum period were seen in women who received their delivery PoC HIV VL result prior to discharge from the hospital than in those who did not. Although the difference was not clinically relevant (11% v. 13%), the finding demonstrated the potential of PoC VL testing in improving maternal VL testing and subsequent retention in care postpartum in routine settings. This observed potential benefit of PoC VL testing is particularly important in the current context of very low maternal VL testing coverage within the national PMTCT programme, such that any intervention that improves VL testing coverage is welcome. However, further research is required to explore this association, as findings may be biased by underlying health system and patient-level factors that were beyond the scope of this study.

Evidence from various studies shows that ~30 - 37% of women delivering in the public sector have VLs ≥50 copies/mL at the time of delivery. [16] We present similar findings for maternal viraemia at delivery and within 9 months postpartum. These proportions are unacceptably high if the programme is in pursuit of eMTCT, especially considering recommendations for extended breastfeeding for 2 years postpartum. We found that women who had unsuppressed VLs at the time of delivery continued to be unsuppressed during the postpartum period. Even more concerning was the observed high rate of absent VL monitoring within 9 months postpartum in the cohort. This lack places HIV-exposed infants at an increased risk of MTCT during breastfeeding. [17] Poor monitoring of maternal VLs during the postpartum period undermines the benefits of high ART coverage prior to delivery by compounding the risk of MTCT in the postnatal period. These findings emphasise the need for ongoing patient support and improved systems for monitoring patient care during pregnancy and the postpartum period in order to achieve eMTCT. Until clinical databases can assume this role, routine near-real-time surveillance of maternal VLs during pregnancy, delivery and the postpartum period using the NHLS laboratory database can fast-track attainment of eMTCT and the third 90 in this population. The revised PMTCT guidelines of 2019 make provision for accurate, national surveillance of maternal VLs during pregnancy, at delivery and during the postpartum period by introducing the electronic gate-keeping (EGK) codes C#PMTCT and C#DELIVERY to distinguish maternal VL testing at these three time points. [18] The EGK codes are meant to be captured on standard laboratory requisition forms for specimens submitted to and processed by the NHLS. As with other policy recommendations, the success of this initiative depends on uptake and implementation by stakeholders.

At patient level, investing in patient support services may sensitive mothers to the importance of VL monitoring and empower them to take charge of their own health and healthcare, for example young mothers and women who are struggling with adherence to treatment. The success of the PMTCT programme to date is largely attributable to implementation of broad-based and programme-level interventions. These interventions were necessary and are still relevant. However, achieving eMTCT requires a further shift towards focusing on case management during pregnancy and the postpartum period. Poor case management may explain the constant figure of ~37% of women who remain unsuppressed throughout the continuum of care in this study. These are probably women with specific needs that are not addressed by programme-level interventions and require extra services. While this approach may be time and resource intensive, the PMTCT programme can leverage on services provided by ‘MomConnect’ and the community healthcare worker programme to address such needs. [19] MomConnect is a mobile phone-based health initiative of the SA National Department of Health that sends targeted health promotion messages to pregnant women (regardless of HIV status) accessing ANC in the public health sector. The targeted health messages aim at improving both maternal and infant health. [20] Subscription to the service is on a voluntary basis and is free of charge.

Study limitations
Interpretation of our findings requires consideration of certain limitations. We relied on the national laboratory database for VL testing patterns throughout the continuum of PMTCT care for the study cohort. However, the laboratory database is not a clinical longitudinal cohort management system. The VL testing cascade generated from laboratory data is based on availability of VL testing according to HIV monitoring guidelines. Therefore, some women may be in care but not receiving appropriate HIV testing. In addition, the laboratory database may be inaccurate for longitudinal cohort monitoring as, in the absence of a unique identifier, record linkage relies on probabilistic matching of patient demographic information. Therefore, some VL results may not have been linked to study participants as a result of inconsistent documentation of demographics (for example due to data capturing error and/or surname changes due to marriage), which would cause the patient-linking algorithm to fail to link VL results belonging to the same patient. Suboptimal linkage of VL results is particularly worth noting.
considering that pregnant women often attend multiple facilities during the antenatal, delivery and postpartum periods, and each facility may capture their personal identifiers differently. However, a manual search for longitudinal VL test results for 200 randomly selected participants was performed from the Laboratory Information System. The manual search did not yield additional VL data to results obtained from the patient-linking algorithm, suggesting reasonable performance of the linking algorithm in finding most of the HIV VLs performed on each patient and thereby validating the study findings. However, the use of markers specific for maternal VL monitoring during pregnancy and the breastfeeding period, such as the recommended EKG codes, is anticipated to provide accurate surveillance data from the laboratory database in the near future.

Another limitation is the lack of clinical information for study participants. Data on maternal ART status during the antenatal period were not available to the study to determine timing of the first VL and or the impact of ART duration on maternal viraemia during the antenatal and postpartum periods. Lastly, considering the low maternal VL testing coverage during both these periods, testing may have been biased towards unsuppressed women, which may explain why women with unsuppressed VLs during pregnancy tended to be unsuppressed during the postpartum period. Furthermore, the few VL data in this study limited a longitudinal analysis of maternal VL evolution.

Conclusions
Fewer than 5% of WLHIV enrolled in this study received VL monitoring during the antenatal and postpartum periods according to national guidelines. Approximately one-fifth of women had evidence of VL monitoring during the antenatal period. WLHIV who did not have VL monitoring during the antenatal period were more likely to be virally unsuppressed at delivery and receive no postpartum VL monitoring. Women with a high VL at delivery were more likely to remain virally unsuppressed postpartum. These results emphasise the need for closer monitoring of and urgency in responding to elevated maternal VL during pregnancy, at delivery and postpartum for the attainment of eMTCT in SA.
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