The effect of the introduction of an electronic booking system to appropriately prioritise gastroscopies at a regional hospital in South Africa
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Background. The National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) guidelines state that patients with dyspepsia as well as alarm symptoms, or those that are 55 years and older with new onset dyspepsia, urgently require an upper endoscopy within two weeks. The ‘Be Clear on Cancer Campaign’ launched by Public Health England estimated that 9% of deaths due to gastric and oesophageal cancers could have been avoided if the diagnosis was made at an earlier stage. Worcester Provincial Hospital (WPH) is a regional hospital in the Western Cape, South Africa, that due to resource constraints was unable to comply with these guidelines. An electronic endoscopy booking system was implemented in June 2014 to assist referring clinicians.

Objectives. To evaluate the ability of the booking system to appropriately prioritise and accommodate clinically appropriate patients for an urgent gastroscopy within 2 weeks at WPH.

Methods. Retrospective analysis of patients booked for urgent gastroscopies using the online booking system at WPH from July 2014 to June 2017.

Results. A total of 1 589 gastroscopies were performed, with 1 085 (65%) categorised as urgent by the booking system algorithm, during the study period. The median (interquartile range) waiting time for urgent gastroscopies was 19 (8 - 31) days and 437 (40%) patients underwent a gastroscopy within the recommended 2-week period. Of the patients undergoing gastroscopy within 2 weeks, 87 (20%) were diagnosed with upper gastrointestinal malignancies and 73 (17%) had significant benign pathology (stricture or ulcer). A total of 150 malignancies were diagnosed in the urgent patients of whom 87 (58%) were scoped within 2 weeks.

Conclusions. The volume of patients requiring urgent gastroscopy at WPH outstrips the available resources. The introduction of the online algorithm-based booking system was effective in prioritising patients. The use of this system facilitated a malignancy diagnosis rate which compares favourably with similar fast track endoscopy services in more developed countries.

Gastric cancer is the fifth most commonly diagnosed cancer with the third highest mortality worldwide.1-3 The incidence of gastric cancer in South Africa (SA) is 3.4 per 100 000 persons annually. Oesophageal cancer is the seventh most common cancer worldwide and the eighth most common in SA. The incidence of the disease varies significantly around the world and is more common in men than women. In SA, the incidence of oesophageal cancer is 6.4 per 100 000 persons. Oesophageal and gastric cancer made up 3.2 and 5.7%, respectively, of the 18 078 957 newly diagnosed cases of cancer worldwide in 2018. Collectively gastric and oesophageal cancers accounted for 5 152 deaths in SA in 2018.1,4,5

Diagnosis of oesophageal or gastric cancer is confirmed following a gastroscopy and tissue biopsy. Early diagnosis is critically important in achieving better survival rates and results in better patient outcomes. The ‘Be Clear on Cancer Campaign’ launched by Public Health England estimated 9% of deaths could have been avoided if the diagnosis was made at an earlier stage.1

The symptom pattern in patients with early tumours is not distinctive; diagnosis is rarely possible on the basis of symptoms alone. People with oesophageal or gastric cancer may present with any of a variety of common symptoms such as indigestion, heartburn, reflux and epigastric pain or discomfort. Dyspepsia prompts a substantial proportion of primary care consultations, but less than 2% of patients with dyspepsia will have cancer. Advanced cancer may cause more specific symptoms such as dysphagia, odynophagia, weight loss, anaemia and vomiting.4,6

Identifying the underlying cause of dyspepsia through clinical diagnosis alone is notoriously unreliable. A number of alarm symptoms have been identified to indicate patients at higher risk of serious disease. These alarm features are described in clinical guidelines as patients with dysphagia, or aged 55 years and older with weight loss, and any of the following: upper abdominal pain, reflux or dyspepsia.6 According to the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) suspected cancer: recognition and referral guideline,6 patients with alarm symptoms require an urgent upper endoscopy to be performed within 2 weeks. Van Weel-Baumgarten et al.6 found that dyspeptic symptoms were not ‘key symptoms’ of gastric or oesophageal malignancy. Instead, the commonly accepted alarm symptoms were more useful predictors of malignancy. Complaints of dysphagia should be questioned, and a thorough evaluation of alarm symptoms helps to minimise unnecessary requests for gastroscopies.

Kapoor et al.6 demonstrated that fast track endoscopy referral, in which the referring physician designates a gastroscopy as urgent based on the presence of alarm symptoms, in patients with suspected upper gastrointestinal (GI) malignancy resulted in a significant
yield of cancer and serious benign disease (peptic ulceration, strictures, and severe oesophagitis) diagnoses. Furthermore, the application of narrower referral criteria reduced the number of inappropriate procedures while retaining high sensitivity for cancer. Notably, the fast track service had a cancer prevalence of 3.8% compared with a 1 - 2% cancer prevalence of the open access system – suggesting that fast track endoscopic services can double the detection of cancer.9

However, this improved cancer detection rate within a 2-week period has not translated into a survival benefit. The ideal way to improve survival would be prompt detection of early cancer; unfortunately alarm symptoms usually signify advanced disease.8

Worcester Hospital (WPH) is a rural, regional (secondary level) public hospital in the Western Cape, SA that services a population of ~800 000 people. WPH receives referrals from eight district hospitals (primary level) and several primary healthcare facilities. The endoscopic unit services the public health users (~80 - 84%) of the population. A 2008 audit of provincial gastroenterology services in the Western Cape showed that at least 60% of all adult endoscopies were undertaken at tertiary institutions and that the median (range) waiting time from consultation until gastroscopy was 9.25 (0.5 - 28) weeks.9

An open access endoscopy booking system allows physicians and general practitioners to refer patients for endoscopy without prior outpatient consultation. The open access booking platform led to an increased demand for endoscopic procedures, which strained the available capacity. There were concerns regarding potential misuse of the system, leading to increased numbers of inappropriate referrals with normal outcomes. In the absence of clinically appropriate prioritisation of patients for gastroscopy, those requiring urgent services were being delayed, while patients not requiring urgent services were receiving attention unnecessarily soon. Referral guidelines are, therefore, crucial in ensuring the efficient utilisation of available resources by selecting patients in need of prioritised care.

WPH utilises a website (www.worcesterhospital.org), which was developed in house, to facilitate patient note-keeping for admission, procedures, operations and discharges as well the theatre and procedure booking lists. Worchesterhospital.org developed a novel, smart phone enabled, fast track, electronic endoscopy booking system. This system was implemented in June 2014 to assist with the scheduling of endoscopies, prioritising requests as either urgent or non-urgent (Fig. 1). The booking system allows referring clinicians to select a clinical problem and follow an appropriate algorithm pathway, based on the alarm symptoms, for the specific clinical problem. The data entered automatically populate an endoscopy referral template and a request is submitted as either urgent (to be performed within 2 weeks) or non-urgent (6 - 8 weeks). The implementation of this system has helped identify patients requiring urgent gastroscopy and, within the operational constraints, the WPH endoscopy service to try to perform these gastroscopies within the recommended 2-week period.

Objectives
To assess the compliance and efficacy of the online booking system in appropriately prioritising patients to urgent gastroscopy and to describe the proportion and findings of the patients undergoing gastroscopy within the 2-week time limit.

Fig. 1. Example of the online referral tool.
Methods
This is a retrospective review of a prospectively maintained gastroscopy database. Ethical approval was obtained from Stellenbosch University (ref. no. HREC #N16/10/139) for this study. All data were collected on the WPH's online fast track gastroscopy booking system between July 2014 and June 2017. Patient information was password protected with complete endoscopy information only available to the three surgery specialists. Unbooked emergency gastroscopies, planned interventional gastroscopies and follow-up gastroscopies at a specified interval were excluded from the study sample.

Malignancy was defined by histological confirmation of neoplasia on a biopsy. Significant benign pathology was defined as biopsy-proven non-neoplastic ulcers or strictures. Minor disease was noted as gastritis or duodenitis based on the visual appearance as noted by the endoscopist. A normal gastroscopy was defined as the absence of any pathology noted during the endoscopy. Urgent gastroscopy is defined as a gastroscopy that is required to occur within 2 weeks; these are identified by the presence of alarm symptoms, including progressive dysphagia, unexplained and unintentional weight loss, persistent vomiting and proven iron deficiency anaemia. Non-urgent gastroscopies could occur on the next available date.

Results
A total of 3 774 endoscopies, comprising 2 693 gastroscopies and 1 081 colonoscopies, were performed at WPH during the study period. The mean (range) age of patients who underwent urgent gastroscopies was 55 (11 - 94) years and 36% (n=891) of the patients were female. The online electronic booking algorithm identified 1 085 gastroscopies as urgent and 40% (n=437) of these received a gastroscopy within 20 weeks. This proportion cumulatively increased to 57 and 72% within 3 and 4 weeks, respectively, from request to endoscopy (Table 1). Overall, the median (interquartile range) waiting time was 19 (8 - 31) days (Fig. 2).

Of the patients classified as urgent (n=437) who received a gastroscopy within 2 weeks, 87 (20%) were diagnosed with an upper GI malignancy and 73 (17%) had significant benign pathology (stricture or ulcer) (Table 2). The gastroscopies identified as urgent (n=1 085) yielded a total of 150 malignancies, resulting in a malignancy detection rate of 13.8% (95% confidence interval (CI) 11.8 - 15.9%) of whom 87 (58%) were scoped within 2 weeks. Of the gastroscopies identified as non-urgent (n=504), 5 (1%) patients were diagnosed with malignancies.

Discussion
Endoscopy of the upper GI tract is a common procedure for the diagnosis of conditions such as peptic ulcer disease, gastro-oesophageal reflux disease or upper GI malignancies. It is the diagnostic procedure of choice in dyspepsia and, in many European countries, it is available on an open access basis.10,11 The NICE guidelines stipulate endoscopy within 2 weeks of referral for these 'high-risk' subjects.12 The findings in this study suggest that endoscopic services at WPH are unable to approach this standard of care as the need for urgent gastroscopy currently outstrips the available resources. This is reflected by the fact that only 40% of patients identified for urgent gastroscopy received one within the recommended 2-week time frame.

Our results suggest that the algorithm within the online booking system is effective in prioritising patients requiring urgent gastroscopy as evident by the low yield (1%) of malignancies diagnosed in the group categorised as non-urgent. The

Table 1. Comparison of the waiting time of patients (N=1 589) before undergoing urgent and non-urgent gastroscopies at Worcester Hospital

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waiting time (weeks)</th>
<th>Urgent (N=1 085), n (%)</th>
<th>Non-urgent (N=504), n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 - 2</td>
<td>437 (40)</td>
<td>71 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 - 3</td>
<td>188 (17)</td>
<td>75 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - 4</td>
<td>154 (15)</td>
<td>89 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;4</td>
<td>306 (28)</td>
<td>269 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1 085 (68)</td>
<td>504 (32)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Guidelines from most professional GI bodies suggest early endoscopy (i.e. endoscopy as soon as is possible) in all individuals with dyspepsia over the age of 55 years and in all individuals with alarm symptoms.13 The findings in this study suggest that endoscopic services at WPH are unable to approach this standard of care as the need for urgent gastroscopy currently outstrips the available resources. This is reflected by the fact that only 40% of patients identified for urgent gastroscopy received one within the recommended 2-week time frame.
Table 2. Pathology diagnosed in patients undergoing gastroscopy at Worcester Hospital

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Urgent</th>
<th>Non-urgent</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malignancy</td>
<td>5 (5)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>6 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal/minor disease: gastritis/duodenitis</td>
<td>17 (21)</td>
<td>4 (5)</td>
<td>21 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total, diagnoses of GI cancers</td>
<td>22 (27)</td>
<td>5 (6)</td>
<td>27 (33)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Despite positive initial findings, there is the potential that primary care doctors either under- or over-refer patients to fast track diagnostic services based on variation in their interpretation of symptoms and/or their knowledge of referral criteria. This is a limitation of the study. Furthermore, in the SA public healthcare setting there is substantial variation caused by socio-demographic factors, including patient access to transport, employment status and the ability to take time off work to seek healthcare, ease of access to care, finance and level of education. In addition to these factors there are resource limitations, including bed, equipment and staff shortages, at WPH which make the overall endoscopy time frame difficult to determine. The influences of these factors on the 2-week guidelines need to be investigated further. Unfortunately, no comparison can be made between patients referred for fast track endoscopy and those previously referred on an open access basis at WPH due to the lack of quality data prior to the introduction of the booking system.

Several centres in the UK have presented initial data of their yield of malignancy in high-risk patients referred under the ‘2-week rule’, reporting values of 4 to 15%. The numbers of patients referred per 6 months in these centres appears low (79 and 112, respectively) suggesting either poor local uptake of rapid assessment services or possible differences in local interpretation of the criteria laid down by the National Health Service (NHS) for the classification of urgent referrals.

Despite these limitations, the results from this study can form the basis for management to assist with improved strategic planning for this limited ‘resource’. However, the booking service alone is not enough to improve the number of early diagnoses of GI cancers, and investment must be made to educate healthcare providers in the primary care setting to understand and comply with referral recommendations. The referral recommendations will undoubtedly improve the journey for cancer sufferers although it is unclear whether this strategy will alter national mortality figures for upper GI malignancy. The latter is dependent on the stage of the malignancy when it is diagnosed, and efforts should focus on earlier diagnosis in order to improve patient outcomes. It is highly desirable to be able to provide rapid diagnosis for all cancer patients, irrespective of tumour stage or prognosis.

Conclusion

The need for urgent gastroscopies (according to international criteria) outstrips the resources available at WPH. This study has shown the worcesterhospital.org online gastroscopy algorithm-based booking system was effective in prioritising patients with suspected upper GI malignancies. The system facilitated a malignancy diagnosis rate which compares favourably with similar fast track endoscopy services in more developed countries.
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