A multicentre prospective observational study of the prevalence of preoperative anaemia and iron deficiency in adult elective surgical patients in hospitals in Western Cape Province, South Africa
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Background. Preoperative anaemia has been shown to be an independent risk factor for postoperative morbidity and mortality. Iron deficiency is the leading cause of anaemia globally. There are limited data describing the burden of perioperative anaemia and the relative contribution of iron deficiency in South Africa (SA).

Objectives. To determine the prevalence and severity of preoperative anaemia in adults presenting for elective surgery in Western Cape Province, SA, and to investigate the contribution of iron deficiency as a cause of the anaemia. For this purpose, an investigative protocol from a recent consensus statement on the management of perioperative anaemia was applied.

Methods. We performed a prospective, observational study in adult patients presenting for elective non-cardiac, non-obstetric surgery over a 5-day period at six Western Cape government-funded hospitals. The World Health Organization patient classification was applied, and patients with anaemia were investigated for iron deficiency.

Results. The prevalence of preoperative anaemia was 28% (105/375; 95% confidence interval (CI) 23.5 - 32.5); 55/105 patients (52%) had moderate and 11/105 (11%) severe anaemia. Iron deficiency was the cause of anaemia in 37% (32/87; 95% CI 26.6 - 46.9), but only 9% of iron-deficient patients received iron supplementation prior to surgery.

Conclusions. Preoperative anaemia was common in this study, and more than half of the affected patients had severe anaemia. Iron deficiency was responsible for almost 40% of cases. Iron supplementation was under-utilised in the preoperative period as a means of increasing haemoglobin. The introduction of system-wide policies would empower perioperative physicians to mitigate the risk associated with preoperative anaemia in the Western Cape.


Anaemia is a common condition, affecting almost a third of the global population, and is the most frequently observed impairment worldwide according to the Global Burden of Disease study.[1] This high prevalence has consequences for surgical patients. There is a growing body of literature carefully detailing the impact of preoperative anaemia on perioperative outcomes. Anaemia is independently associated with increased in-hospital mortality, allogeneic blood transfusions, length of hospital stay, intensive care admissions, surgical site infections and readmission to hospital.[2,4] Preoperative anaemia is inextricably linked to blood product utilisation in the surgical period and has become one of the three pillars of patient blood management (PBM) programmes, where the goal is to curb unnecessary blood product use and improve outcomes.[2,4] Despite increased awareness of its negative impact, preoperative anaemia remains a common finding in patients presenting for surgery, with a reported prevalence as high as 75% for certain patient groups.[2,4]

Early diagnosis and management of the underlying cause of anaemia is necessary to mitigate the associated perioperative risk. Iron deficiency is the leading cause of anaemia in the general population, accounting for approximately half of all cases worldwide,[11] and up to 62% of preoperative anaemia.[11]

The South African Surgical Outcomes Study (SASOS) showed that the national surgical population carries a higher perioperative risk, when matched for age and comorbidities, compared with high-income countries.[12] The overall prevalence of preoperative anaemia in the SASOS cohort was 47.8%, and anaemia was independently associated with in-hospital mortality and intensive care admissions.[13]
However, the proportional contribution of specific causes of anaemia in the SASOS cohort was unknown, and there remains a paucity of literature on this topic in surgical patients.

**Objectives**

To determine the prevalence and severity of preoperative anaemia and iron deficiency anaemia (IDA) in patients presenting for elective surgery in Western Cape Province, South Africa (SA). For the investigation of IDA, the recommendations of a recent international consensus statement on the perioperative management of anaemia and iron deficiency were followed.[30]

**Methods**

We performed a multicentre, prospective, observational cohort study of all adult elective surgical patients over the period of 1 working week, 16 - 20 October 2017, in six public sector (one tertiary and five secondary) government-funded hospitals in the Western Cape. Groote Schuur, Paarl, Victoria, Mitchell’s Plain, George and New Somerset hospitals participated. The study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Health Sciences of the University of Cape Town (ref. no. HREC 385/2017) and registered on clinicaltrials.gov (ref. no. NCT03318055). The Western Cape Department of Health as well as the individual institutions also approved the study (provincial approval ref. no. WC_201709_018).

Inclusion criteria were all adult (>18 years) patients presenting for elective non-obstetric, non-cardiac surgery. Emergency and paediatric surgery and radiological procedures were further exclusions. Eligible patients were informed about the study during their routine preoperative anaesthesia assessment. All patients provided written informed consent and received a handout with additional information regarding anaemia, and a referral letter to their primary healthcare facility for further workup and management should the condition be identified. Baseline demographic and clinical data were recorded on a paper case report form for all participating patients. This information included age, gender, ethnicity, American Society of Anesthesiology (ASA) status, weight, height, known comorbidities and medication, smoking and functional status. The type of surgery was classified as major or non-major. Iron supplementation was specifically documented. Preoperative haemoglobin (Hb) levels, mean corpuscular volume, serum ferritin level, transferrin saturation (TSAT) and serum creatinine level were recorded if available. In the small proportion of patients in whom the preoperative Hb was unavailable, a point-of-care Hb measurement was done prior to arrival in theatre. A single reading was obtained using the HemoCue Hb 201+ system (HemoCue, SA), which if in the range of anaemia indicated a full blood count. Patients were only classified as anaemic and investigated for iron deficiency on the basis of the laboratory result.

All captured information was deidentified, anonymised and assigned a unique study number during the transcription process using Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) tools provided by Safe Surgery South Africa. Patients were classified as anaemic or not anaemic according to the World Health Organization (WHO) classification (Hb <13 g/dL for males and <12 g/dL for non-pregnant females), and the severity of anaemia was defined as mild (11 - 12.9 g/dL), moderate (8 - 10.9 g/dL) or severe (<8 g/dL).[14]

Anaemic patients were investigated for iron deficiency. The specimens were obtained in theatre, prior to induction of anaesthesia. Blood samples were processed and analysed by the National Health Laboratory Service and deidentified with a unique patient study number. Postoperatively, laboratory results were followed up by the investigators, and anaemic patients were classified as having IDA or anaemia without iron deficiency. Serum ferritin is regarded as the investigation of choice for the diagnosis of IDA.[16] However, it is an acute-phase protein and can be elevated in the presence of inflammation and other conditions, which makes its usefulness as a single investigation, when elevated, of limited value.[16] The combination of serum ferritin and TSAT is the most widely recognised approach for the diagnosis of IDA in the perioperative setting. The diagnosis was made if serum ferritin was <30 µg/L, or if it was 30 - 100 µg/L in combination with TSAT <20%.[14,16,17]

Based on previous studies with a similar design, and known numbers of surgical cases at participating hospitals, we expected to recruit 500 patients.[16] Patients who had no Hb recorded were excluded from our study. Patients with missing iron study results were excluded from calculation of IDA, but included in calculations of the prevalence of anaemia.

**Statistical analysis**

Continuous variables were described as means (standard deviations (SDs)) if normally distributed. Comparisons of continuous variables were performed using Fisher’s exact test or Pearson’s χ² test, as appropriate. All p-values reported were two-sided, and p<0.05 was considered statistically significant. A sample size of ~500 patients and a prevalence of anaemia of ~30% would allow determination of the true prevalence with a 95% confidence interval (CI) of ±4.0%, i.e. 26.0 - 34.0. The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 24 (SPSS Inc., USA) was used for data analysis.

**Results**

The study flow diagram is shown in Fig. 1, and baseline demographic data are displayed in Table 1. The primary outcome, the prevalence of preoperative anaemia, was 28% (105/375; 95% CI 23.5 - 32.5) with a mean (SD) Hb of 10.4 (1.9). Anaemia was most prevalent in the age

![Fig. 1. Study flow diagram. (Hb = haemoglobin.)](image-url)
The iron status of all anaemic patients was investigated. Owing to a prolonged time from collection to processing, 18 specimens were unsuitable for evaluation and therefore excluded. In total, 87 suitable specimens were investigated. Of these, 37% (32/87; 95% CI 26.6 - 46.9) were classified as IDA. Subgroup analysis showed that 22% (7/32) of patients who had IDA were male and 78.1% (25/32) female (p=0.008). Of the patients with IDA, 3 were receiving oral iron supplementation preoperatively and 6 were on folate supplements. In the entire cohort, 12 patients received blood transfusions prior to their surgery. Of these, 10 were anaemic on admission, 3 of whom had IDA. There were 3 patients who did not undergo surgery for reasons other than anaemia.

**Discussion**

The results of the study showed that preoperative anaemia was common, with a prevalence of 28% in patients scheduled for elective surgery in the participating Western Cape government hospitals. This figure was lower than in elective surgery in the SASOS study population (38.2%). An important finding in the present study was that the majority of patients with anaemia were in the moderate category. Increased severity is linked to worse outcomes. In-hospital mortality was four times higher in patients in the SASOS cohort with moderate and severe anaemia than in those with mild anaemia.

In elective surgery, iron deficiency was the cause of preoperative anaemia in 37% of patients in our study. The higher proportion of women with IDA was in keeping with a recent study conducted in the healthy Western Cape population which showed that 11% of men had iron deficiency compared with almost 50% of women. However, only 9% of patients with IDA received iron supplementation. There is therefore a large population that could benefit from preoperative iron therapy in order to replenish iron stores and increase the Hb prior to surgery. The cause of the anaemia in the remaining 63% of patients in our study was not investigated. However, in clinical practice a structured treatment algorithm should be followed to identify and treat these cases.

Most guidelines and literature focus on optimising patients prior to major surgery. In our study, major surgery was associated with an increased prevalence of preoperative anaemia; however, a substantial proportion of patients scheduled for non-major surgery were also anaemic, and would benefit from further investigation to identify the cause. HIV/AIDS and chronic kidney disease were associated

---

**Table 1. Demographic and clinical data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total (N=375)</th>
<th>Anaemic (N=105)</th>
<th>Not anaemic (N=270)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>50.0 (16.4)</td>
<td>49.6 (15.3)</td>
<td>51.0 (16.9)</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin (g/dL), mean (SD)</td>
<td>12.9 (2.2)</td>
<td>10.4 (1.9)</td>
<td>14.0 (1.3)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>240/375 (64)</td>
<td>62/105 (59)</td>
<td>178/270 (66)</td>
<td>0.232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker, n (%)</td>
<td>128/373 (34)</td>
<td>33/104 (32)</td>
<td>95/269 (35)</td>
<td>0.545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major surgery, n (%)</td>
<td>40/374 (11)</td>
<td>20/105 (19)</td>
<td>20/269 (7)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>89/372 (24)</td>
<td>16/103 (16)</td>
<td>73/269 (27)</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>198/372 (53)</td>
<td>39/103 (38)</td>
<td>159/269 (59)</td>
<td>0.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>80/372 (22)</td>
<td>45/103 (43)</td>
<td>35/269 (13)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5/372 (1)</td>
<td>3/103 (3)</td>
<td>2/269 (1)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional status, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completely independent</td>
<td>328/374 (88)</td>
<td>81/104 (78)</td>
<td>247/267 (91)</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partially dependent</td>
<td>39/374 (10)</td>
<td>17/104 (16)</td>
<td>22/270 (8)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completely dependent</td>
<td>7/374 (2)</td>
<td>6/104 (6)</td>
<td>1/270 (1)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery disease</td>
<td>17/375 (5)</td>
<td>4/105 (4)</td>
<td>13/270 (5)</td>
<td>0.788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive heart failure</td>
<td>5/375 (1)</td>
<td>2/105 (2)</td>
<td>3/270 (1)</td>
<td>0.622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced retinopathy</td>
<td>13/375 (3)</td>
<td>7/105 (7)</td>
<td>6/270 (2)</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke/TIA</td>
<td>15/375 (4)</td>
<td>8/105 (8)</td>
<td>7/270 (3)</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD/asthma</td>
<td>30/375 (8)</td>
<td>12/105 (11)</td>
<td>18/270 (7)</td>
<td>0.140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS</td>
<td>18/375 (5)</td>
<td>10/105 (10)</td>
<td>8/270 (3)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>168/375 (45)</td>
<td>50/105 (48)</td>
<td>118/270 (43)</td>
<td>0.563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic renal disease</td>
<td>18/375 (5)</td>
<td>14/105 (13)</td>
<td>4/270 (1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current TB</td>
<td>2/375 (1)</td>
<td>0/105 (0)</td>
<td>2/270 (1)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous TB</td>
<td>13/375 (3)</td>
<td>4/105 (4)</td>
<td>9/270 (3)</td>
<td>0.762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes without insulin</td>
<td>32/375 (9)</td>
<td>11/105 (10)</td>
<td>21/270 (8)</td>
<td>0.414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes with insulin</td>
<td>29/375 (8)</td>
<td>12/105 (11)</td>
<td>17/270 (6)</td>
<td>0.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral vascular disease</td>
<td>3/375 (1)</td>
<td>1/105 (1)</td>
<td>2/270 (1)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Numerators differ owing to missing data for some categories.*

ASA = American Society of Anesthesiologists; TIA = transient ischaemic attack; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; TB = tuberculosis.

---

**Group 40 - 49 years (mean 50%), with a higher prevalence in males (32%) than females (26%). According to the WHO classification, 39/105 (37%) had mild, 55/105 (52%) moderate and 11/105 (11%) severe anaemia. Higher rates of preoperative anaemia were found in patients who had an ASA score of 3 and 4, chronic renal disease and HIV/AIDS. Intestinal, peripheral vascular and other abdominal surgery had the highest incidences of anaemia (66%, 62% and 40%, respectively). Patients undergoing major surgery were more likely to be anaemic than those undergoing non-major surgery. The iron status of all anaemic patients was investigated. Owing to a prolonged time from collection to processing, 18 specimens were unsuitable for evaluation and therefore excluded. In total, 87 suitable specimens were investigated. Of these, 37% (32/87; 95% CI 26.6 - 46.9) were classified as IDA. Subgroup analysis showed that 22% (7/32) of patients who had IDA were male and 78.1% (25/32) female (p=0.008). Of the patients with IDA, 3 were receiving oral iron supplementation preoperatively and 6 were on folate supplements. In the entire cohort, 12 patients received blood transfusions prior to their surgery. Of these, 10 were anaemic on admission, 3 of whom had IDA. There were 3 patients who did not undergo surgery for reasons other than anaemia.**
with significant increases in the prevalence of preoperative anaemia, highlighting the need for investigation of the cause of anaemia in these groups.

There are many barriers preventing adequate correction of preoperative anaemia. [22] Guidelines aimed at managing preoperative anaemia and preventing allogeneic blood transfusions have been successfully implemented in many countries, particularly as part of PBM programmes. Where these programmes have been established, improvements in perioperative outcomes as well as significant economic benefits have been demonstrated. [22] The Western Cape healthcare system could benefit from the introduction of multidisciplinary anaemia clinics, with a view to increasing red cell mass in the perioperative period.

Study strengths and limitations
The strength of our study was the prospective, multicentre data collection. We also included major as well as non-major surgery. The criteria for iron deficiency were relatively strict, as there may have been patients with concomitant inflammatory disease or chronic kidney disease, whose ferritin level might be >100 µg/L but who could still have concomitant iron deficiency. The prevalence of iron deficiency noted should therefore be seen as a minimum estimate.

However, there were some weaknesses in our research approach. Our data reflect only a 5-day study period, and fewer patients were recruited than the initially anticipated 500. This limitation resulted in a slightly wider CI for the estimate than targeted in the sample size calculation. In addition, cancer was not listed as a comorbidity, so no conclusions can be drawn on the contribution of these patients to the prevalence of perioperative anaemia. Furthermore, the prevalence of IDA could have been influenced by the 18 specimens that were excluded due to processing errors.

Conclusions
This study provides a strong indication of the current prevalence of preoperative anaemia and iron deficiency in the elective surgical population in the Western Cape. Preoperative anaemia is common, and the frequency of moderate anaemia is concerning. The condition is correctable, and failure to consider or attempt optimisation for patients undergoing major surgery is inadmissible. Iron supplementation in the perioperative period was under-utilised. Improvement in the management of preoperative anaemia would benefit patients, but requires timely recognition of the problem and education of role-players, as well as implementation of institutional and system-wide policies. Such protocols would empower perioperative physicians to mitigate risk in patients undergoing elective surgery.
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