Intellectual disability in the Esidimeni tragedy: Silent deaths

Esidimeni means ‘place of dignity’. It now signifies the disaster in which people continue to die (140 at last count) and go missing after being transferred from Life Esidimeni into the care of non-governmental organisations (NGOs).[1-3] Esidimeni is not only a medical maladministration scandal. It is a story about the sociopolitical abuse of people who only matter once they die. Patients are not dead because they were mentally ill or simply medically mismanaged. They died because we are careless. We do not care enough to be clear about the difference between people with mental or psychiatric illness and people with intellectual disability (PWID) (no longer called mentally retarded). Countless Esidimenis are currently happening to people who are still alive. The extent of neglectful and abusive care will again only come to light once they also die of starvation, dehydration, cold and infection. Abominable as the crisis is, it is our (current) high-water mark of an ongoing silent catastrophe, which implies that abuse of the living matters less, if at all, than being neglected to death. It also comments on our nation. We profess to know about discrimination and resultant inequality, yet the PWID at the centre of the Esidimeni tragedy are of the single most disenfranchised and oppressed groups in our society.

Intellectual disability is not a mental illness

Despite the current national ‘Esidimeni deaths’ discourse, it is not widely understood that at least half of the deceased lived with severe to profound intellectual disability (ID).[4] While psychiatric illnesses frequently accompany ID, these are different conditions and can exist separately. People who live with ID and a comorbid mental illness live with a dual diagnosis. People can live with ID and not have a mental illness.[5] To refer to PWID as being mentally ill obscures distinctions between mental illness and ID, and dismisses particular abilities, vulnerabilities, and care and support needs of individuals who live with either or both.

Adults with intellectual disability are not children

Most adults with ID in South Africa (SA) are treated as children.[6] This disabling practice translates into undignified and abusive treatment. To justify giving children NGOs licences to accept adults, Dr M Manamela repeated that ‘mentally ill adult patients have the mind of a child, so they could be classified as children.’[7] Firstly, a PhD in psychiatric nursing should afford one the competence to differentiate mental illness from ID, as mentioned above. Secondly, infantilising adults with ID is ironic: in SA they do not enjoy protections similar to children. The Mental Health Care Act No. 17 of 2002 and its General Regulation Amendment lack rights governance for adults with any level of ID living in community settings.[8] No law similar to the Children’s Act No. 38 of 2005 intervenes on behalf of adults.[9] Government is not legally required to intercede in the best interests of vulnerable adults at risk of abuse, neglect and death.

The problem with measuring tragedy in deaths

Esidimeni has been measured in deaths from the start – a tragedy of 94, then 112 ... 137 and so on.[10] Measuring the disaster’s enormity by counting deaths is illogical. If the ‘problem’ is only as large as the number of deaths, then there is ‘no tragedy’ if one survives unthinkable abuse and neglect. If people died because of inhuman(e) care, be sure of two things: 140 people suffered horrifically until they died, and many more are still enduring appalling trauma. By measuring tragedy in deaths, we reveal a binary appreciation of intellectually disabled lives in which ‘alive or dead’ is the only meaningful statistic. This leaves no space for questioning the quality of life of living people and dehumanises PWID.

For love or money?
The NGOs are tasked with the complex care and support needs of intellectually disabled patients, but without sufficient budgets. Faced with this impossibility, it is instead asserted that, above all, ‘these people need love’. Turning NGOs into saintly providers of good deeds to the wretched of the earth obscures the cost of this ‘love’. NGOs accept money to care for these adults. Globally, dependency carers should be paid and trained better, but we cannot create the perception that selfless carers are accepting the needs burdens of PWID out of love. Unhelpfully pitting their needs against one another creates a conflict of interest between paid carers and their burdensome ‘charges’.

This ‘love’ can be reframed as the effort of emotion work – affective investment in another’s well-being – during the performance of care labour.[11][12] In a caring society, dependency care relationships are ones of interdependence and mutual respect. The hardest physical work does not result in good care if the point of caring is task completion, regardless of the well-being of care participants.[13]

Avoiding future Esidimeni-like catastrophes

We are in a perpetual care crisis. The current tragedy is an outcome of sweeping, structurally embedded social injustices that will mark us in history if we continue to ignore them. We must face the distressing reality that Esidimeni-like rights violations happen to PWID on a daily basis in pervasive ways.[14] Rights violations against PWID will not stop after one arbitration. For lives of PWID to matter more than death, the following attitudinal changes must infuse ID rights systemisation and implementation:

Practical/systemic risk mitigation solutions

• Specialist residential care is not inexpensive, but provides a service that the state is unable or unwilling to fulfil. The rapid withdrawal of support for PWID from such care must not be repeated.
• SA lawmakers must educate themselves on what ‘intellectual disabilities actually mean’, as gazetted in parliament.[15]
• The dualist legal system incorporates into domestic laws the United Nations Convention of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, which SA signed 10 years ago.[16]

• A Vulnerable Adults Act legally obliges the state to perform universal safeguarding of adults with ID beyond the inadequate Mental Health Care Act No. 17 of 2002.[17]
• Well-regulated community care requirements for PWID are collaboratively approached with urgency by the Department of Social Development and the Department of Health, in consultation with self-advocates, so as to:
  • remedy lacking public residential care facilities for people with severe and profound ID in particular
  • uphold the constitutional right PWID have to life, and bodily and psychological integrity.[16]
• Designated ID care facilities are built-for-purpose. Care staff are up-skilled or renewed.
• Service initiatives investigate and adapt recognised international policies and guidelines pertaining to good clinical practice and organisational design.
• With an eye to the future, the implementation of the Draft South African Policy Framework for the Provision of Quality Education and Support for Children with Severe to Profound Intellectual Disability is ensured.[17]
• The transversal treatment of PWID is integrated throughout the public healthcare system. Safe task-shifting to community-based resources takes place. Families caring for adult members with ID are supported.
• Appropriate sociopolitical inclusion of PWID and their families begins at birth. Monitoring is lifelong by care and support plans.
• The remuneration and training of carers, nurses and other multidisciplinary team members must urgently be overhauled. SA offers specialised ID training, and all health-related training programmes will incorporate more than a few hours of ID training.
• De-medicalised ID training becomes an interdisciplinary competence. PWID are consulted on their treatment preferences by integrated practitioners. Future service designs incorporate the expertise of PWID and their families. Continuous professional development requirements keep registered practitioners up-to-date on best practice. Trainers with ID broaden practitioner understanding.
• Cost-free relational processes balance commodified care of PWID. We consider the purpose and individualisation of care, as well as the power relations integral to all dependency care relationships.[12]